arXiv:1606.01795v1 [math.RT] 6 Jun 2016

THE COHOMOLOGY OF SEMI-INFINITE DELIGNE–LUSZTIG VARIETIES

CHARLOTTE CHAN

Abstract. We prove a 1979 conjecture of Lusztig on the cohomology of semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties attached to division algebras over local fields. We also prove the two conjectures of Boyarchenko on these varieties. It is known that in this setting, the semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties are ind-schemes comprised of limits of certain finite-type schemes X_h. Boyarchenko's two conjectures are on the maximality of X_h and on the behavior of the torus-eigenspaces of their cohomology. Both of these conjectures were known in full generality only for division algebras with Hasse invariant $1/n$ in the case $h = 2$ (the "lowest level") by the work of Boyarchenko–Weinstein on the cohomology of a special affinoid in the Lubin–Tate tower. We prove that the torus-eigenspaces of $H_c^i(X_h)$ are irreducible representations and are supported in exactly one cohomological degree. Finally, we give a complete description of the homology groups of the semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties attached to any division algebra, thus giving a geometric realization of the unramified supercuspidal representations of these groups. We expect that the techniques developed in this paper will be useful in studying these constructions for p-adic groups in general.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Outline of the paper 4
2. Definitions 5
2.1. The varieties X_h 6
2.2. Indexing sets 7
2.3. The Howe factorization 9
3. On the cohomology of certain $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-local systems 10
4. Morphisms to the cohomology 12
4.1. Properties of the reduced norm map and the morphism P 14
4.2. Calculation of Artin–Schreier sheaves 16
4.3. Morphisms: proof of Theorem 4.1 20
5. Deligne–Lusztig theory for finite unipotent groups 21
5.1. Examples 23
5.2. Alternating sums of eigenspaces: proof of Theorem 5.1 24
5.3. The nonvanishing cohomological degree: proof of Theorem 5.5 26
6. Semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties for division algebras 28
6.1. Semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties 28
6.2. Torus eigenspaces of the homology of semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties 31
References 33

1. Introduction

The seminal work of Deligne and Lusztig on the representations of finite reductive groups [DL76] has influenced an industry studying parallel constructions in the same theme. Classically, one begins with a reductive group $\mathbb{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ together with a maximal torus $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{G}$, and writing $G := \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $T := \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, one considers the G-representations arising from the torus-eigenspaces $H_c^i(\widetilde{X}_{T\subset G}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ of the cohomology of the Deligne–Lusztig variety $\widetilde{X}_{T\subset G}$. The Deligne–Lusztig variety can be defined to be

$$\widetilde{X}_{T\subset G} := (\widetilde{U} \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}))\backslash\{g \in \widetilde{G} : F(g)g^{-1} \in \widetilde{U}\},$$

where $\widetilde{T} = \mathbb{T}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, $\widetilde{G} = \mathbb{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, $\widetilde{U} \subset \widetilde{G}$ is the unipotent radical of a Borel $\widetilde{B} \subset \widetilde{G}$ containing $\widetilde{T}$, and $F\colon \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{G}$ is the group automorphism induced by the Frobenius element in $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Following this philosophy, it is natural to ask whether a similar construction can be used to study representations of reductive groups over finite rings or over local fields. Both of these set-ups have been studied by Lusztig—for reductive groups over finite rings, see for example [L04], and for reductive groups over local fields, see [L79]. In the present paper, we study the latter situation using the construction proposed by Lusztig in [L79]. We recall Lusztig's construction now. The analogy with the classical Deligne–Lusztig varieties $\widetilde{X}_{T\subset G}$ will be apparent.

For a reductive group $\mathbb{G}$ over a non-Archimedean local field K with residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$, let $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a maximal unramified torus anisotropic over K, and write $T := \mathbb{T}(K)$, $G := \mathbb{G}(K)$, and $\widetilde{T} := \mathbb{T}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}})$, $\widetilde{G} := \mathbb{G}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}})$. Assume that there exists a Borel subgroup $\widetilde{B} \subset \widetilde{G}$ defined over $\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and containing $\widetilde{T}$, and let $\widetilde{U}$ be its unipotent radical. Let $F\colon \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{G}$ denote the group automorphism induced by the Frobenius element in $\mathrm{Gal}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}/K)$. Lusztig's construction, which we call the *semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig variety*, is the set

$$\widetilde{X} := (\widetilde{U} \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}))\backslash\{g \in \widetilde{G} : F(g)g^{-1} \in \widetilde{U}\}.$$

In [L79], Lusztig suggests that $\widetilde{X}$ should have the structure of an infinite-dimensional variety over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and that for a fixed character $\theta\colon T \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, the subspace $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ wherein T acts by θ should be zero for large i and be concentrated in a single cohomological degree if θ is in general position. The long-term goal is to give a uniform construction of supercuspidal representations of p-adic groups realized in the cohomology of $\widetilde{X}$.

We make this precise and realize this goal in the case when $G = D^\times$ for a rank-n division algebra $D = D_{k/n}$ over K and $T = L^\times$, where L is the maximal unramified extension of K contained in D. We define an ind-scheme structure on $\widetilde{X}$ together with homology groups $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ that yield smooth representations of $T \times G$. We now state the main theorem, which gives a complete description of the subspaces $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$.

Main Theorem. *Let $\theta\colon T \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be a smooth character.*

(a) There exists an $r_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta] \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad i = r_\theta.$$

Furthermore, r_θ can be determined in terms of the Howe factorization of θ, which measures the extent to which θ arises from characters that factor through norm maps.

(b) For any very regular element $x \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times \subset G$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(x; H_{r_\theta}(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]\right) = (-1)^{r_\theta} \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)} \theta^\gamma(x).$$

(c) If θ has trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$-stabilizer, then the G-representation $H_{r_\theta}(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ is irreducible.

Remark 1.1. When θ has trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$-stabilizer, the induced representation of the Weil group $\mathcal{W}_K$ is irreducible. In this setting, by the work of Henniart [H93], part (b) of the Main Theorem implies that the irreducible $D_{k/n}^\times$-representations $H_{r_{k,\theta}}(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ correspond to each other under Jacquet–Langlands. Here, we momentarily write $r_{k,\theta} = r_\theta$ to emphasize the dependence on the Hasse invariant k/n. ◊

The proof of the Main Theorem splits into two parts:

(1) Prove that if $\theta|_{U_L^h} = 1$ where $U_L^h = 1 + \pi^h \mathcal{O}_L$, then

$$H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta] = \text{“Ind}\left(H_c^{2d-i}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]\right)\text{”},$$

where X_h is a finite-type variety of pure dimension d and $\chi := \theta|_{U_L^1}$.

(2) For any $\chi\colon U_L^1/U_L^h \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, characterize $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ as a representation of a certain finite unipotent group $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$.

Part (1) was proved by Boyarchenko in [B12], where he made two conjectures about Part (2) (see Conjectures 5.16 and 5.17 of *op. cit*). In light of this, the obstruction to understanding $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ reduces to the study of $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$. For the reader's convenience, we recall the two conjectures on these cohomology groups here.

Conjecture 1.2 (Boyarchenko)**.** *X_h is a maximal variety in the sense of Boyarchenko–Weinstein* [BW16]*. Equivalently, we have $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = 0$ unless i or n is even, and the geometric Frobenius Fr_{q^n} acts on $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by the scalar $(-1)^i q^{ni/2}$.*

Conjecture 1.3 (Boyarchenko)**.** *Given a character $\chi\colon U_L^1/U_L^h \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, there exists $r_\chi \geq 0$ such that $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi] = 0$ for all $i \neq r_\chi$. Moreover, $H_c^{r_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ is an irreducible representation of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$.*

We now describe the progress on these two conjectures prior to the present work. Under the assumption $k = 1$, Conjectures 1.2 and 1.3 were proved in the $h = 2$ case by Boyarchenko and Weinstein in [BW16], where they show that the perfection of X_2 is the special fiber of a particular open affinoid $\mathcal{V}$ in the Lubin–Tate tower, and then prove that the cohomology of $\mathcal{V}$ realizes the local Langlands and Jacquet–Langlands correspondences by calculating $H_c^i(X_2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$. For $h > 2$, the results are more sparse. Nearly nothing was known about Conjecture 1.2, and the only work on Conjecture 1.3 required the assumption that χ is a primitive character (that is, the restriction of χ to $U_L^{h-1}/U_L^h \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ has trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-stabilizer). For primitive χ, Conjecture 1.3 was proved: in [B12] for $k = 1$, $h = 3$, $n = 2$, and $\mathrm{char}\, K > 0$; in [C16] for k, h arbitrary, $n = 2$, and $\mathrm{char}\, K > 0$; and in [C15] for k, h, n, K arbitrary. In these works, it was also shown that Fr_{q^n} acts on $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ in the predicted way for χ primitive, and this is the extent to which Conjecture 1.2 was known for $h > 2$.

Theorem. *The two conjectures of Boyarchenko are true.*

The proof of the two conjectures of Boyarchenko comprises most of the present paper. We expect that the techniques we develop and use to prove these conjectures should be applicable to studying the homology groups of semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties for more general reductive groups.

Remark 1.4. The term "semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig variety" is intended to align with the following analogies:

Deligne–Lusztig variety	:	flag variety
affine Deligne–Lusztig variety	:	affine flag variety
semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig variety	:	semi-infinite flag variety

Here, we use the term "semi-infinite" in the sense of Feigin–Frenkel ([FF90]). We also remark that it turns out there is a close relationship between semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties and affine Deligne–Lusztig varieties of higher level in the sense of [I15]. This is ongoing work with A. Ivanov. ◊

1.1. **Outline of the paper.** In Section 2, we define a particular unipotent group scheme $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ for any $h, k, n \geq 1$. We also define a subgroup scheme $T_h \subset U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ and a subvariety $X_h \subset U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ with commuting actions of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ and $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. These definitions have their roots in [B12] and were generalized to the mixed characteristic case in [C15]. We also define the notion of the Howe factorization of a character of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ which, along with the many indexing sets defined in Section 2.2, will be crucial in Section 4.

In Section 3, we prove a general result (Proposition 3.1) on the cohomology of constructible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaves coming from pullbacks of local systems. We give a particular specialization of this proposition which will be one of the main structural techniques used in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We remark that one can view Proposition 2.10 of [B12], Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 of [C15], and Proposition 3.2 of the present paper as variations of the same theme under the umbrella of Proposition 3.1.

The content of Section 4 is Theorem 4.1, which is really the heart to the proof of Conjectures 1.2 and 1.3. In this theorem, we prove

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad i = r_\chi,$$

where r_χ can be given explicitly in terms of the Howe factorization of χ. The driving idea of the proof is to calculate certain cohomology groups by inducting along stratifications given by Howe factorizations. These stratifications correspond to the indexing sets defined in Section 2.2.

The main result of Section 5 is Theorem 5.1, where we prove, following a technique of Lusztig, that the alternating sum

$$\sum (-1)^i H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$$

is an irreducible representation of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. This result together with Theorem 4.1 immediately implies Conjecture 1.3. There is a subtlety that occurs here: while it follows from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1 that the cohomology groups $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ are concentrated in a single degree, it requires a nontrivial argument to show that this non-vanishing cohomological degree is the r_χ from Theorem 4.1. This is the content of Theorem 5.5. In Section 5.1, we show that r_χ indeed specializes to the formulas obtained in [BW16] and [C15].

We also prove related results in the same theme. We prove a multiplicity-one statement: the association $\chi \mapsto H_c^*(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ defines an injection into the set of irreducible representations of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. In addition, we compute the character of $H_c^*(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ on the set of very regular elements of $L^\times$ (which is actually used to prove Theorem 5.5). Finally, we give an explicit formula for the zeta function of X_h.

In the concluding section, Section 6, we recall the work of Boyarchenko in [B12], which allows us to use the theorems of Sections 4 and 5 to prove the Main Theorem. We remark that it is only in this section that we impose the assumption that $(k, n) = 1$. In general, we expect

the $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$-representations $H_c^*(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ to be directly applicable to studying the homology groups of semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties attached to other inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

Acknowledgements. I'd like to thank Bhargav Bhatt for several helpful conversations. This work was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0943832 and DMS-1160720.

2. Definitions

Let K be a non-Archimedean local field with finite residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let π be a uniformizer of K. If K has characteristic p, we let $\mathbb{W}(S) = S[\![\pi]\!]$ for any $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra S. If K has characteristic 0, we let $\mathbb{W}$ be the ring scheme of $\mathcal{O}_K$-Witt vectors. Throughout, we will let φ denote the Frobenius morphism on $\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ induced by $x \overset{\varphi}{\mapsto} x^q$ on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$.

Definition 2.1. Let S be any $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra. If K has characteristic p, we let $\mathbb{W}(S) = S[\![\pi]\!]$ for any $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra S. If K has characteristic 0, we let $\mathbb{W}(S)$ be the S-points of the ring scheme of $\mathcal{O}_K$-Witt vectors. For any $h \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the corresponding truncated rings $\mathbb{W}_h(S) = \mathbb{W}(S)/(\pi^h \mathbb{W}(S))$. We also set $\mathbb{W}_h(S) = 0$ if $h \leq 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let S be any $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra. For any choice of $n, h, k \geq 1$, define a ring $\mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}(S)$ as follows:

- As a group under addition,
$$\mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}(S) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{W}(S)[\tau]/(\tau^n - \pi^k, \pi^h, \pi^{h-k}\tau, \dots, \pi^{h-k}\tau^{n-1}), & \text{if } h \geq k \\ \mathbb{W}(S)[\tau]/(\tau^n - \pi^k, \pi^h, \tau, \dots, \tau^{n-1}), & \text{if } h < k. \end{cases}$$
- The multiplication structure on $\mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}(S)$ is defined by multiplication in $\mathbb{W}(S)$ together with following commutation rule: $\tau \cdot s = \varphi(s) \cdot \tau$ for any $s \in S$.

Elements of $\mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}$ can be written as $\sum A_i \tau^i = A_0 + A_1\tau + \cdots + A_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}$ where $A_0 \in \mathbb{W}_h$ and $A_i \in \mathbb{W}_{h-k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We write

$$A_0 = (A_{0,j})_{j=0,\dots,h-2},\ A_{0,0} = 1, \qquad A_i = (A_{i,j})_{j=0,\dots,h-k-1},\ i \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}^\times = \{\textstyle\sum A_i\tau^i : A_0 \in \mathbb{W}_h^\times\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}$$

and we define the subgroup

$$U_{h,k}^{n,q} = \{\textstyle\sum A_i\tau^i : A_0 = (1, *, \dots, *) \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{W}_{h-1} \subset \mathbb{W}_h^\times\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}^\times.$$

It is clear that $U_{h,k}^{n,q} = \{1\}$ if $h \leq 1$ and that the functor $S \mapsto U_{h,k}^{n,q}(S)$ is representable by the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{h-1}$ if $h < k$ and $\mathbb{A}^{(h-1)+(n-1)(h-k)}$ if $h \geq k$.

The two most important group schemes in this paper are $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ and the following subgroup scheme of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$, which will play the role of a maximal torus:

$$T_h := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i\tau^i \in U_{h,k}^{n,q} : A_{i,j} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Note that there are natural surjections $T_{h+1} \to T_h$ and inclusions

$$g\colon \mathbb{G}_a \to T_h, \qquad x \mapsto (1, 0, \dots, 0, x).$$

2.1. **The varieties X_h.**

Definition 2.3. Let S be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ algebra and let $\mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(S)$ denote the ring of all n-by-n matrices $M = (M_{ij})$ with $M_{ii} \in \mathbb{W}_h(S)$, $M_{ij} \in \mathbb{W}_{h-k}(S)$ for $i < j$, and $M_{ij} \in \pi^k \mathbb{W}_{h-k}(S)$ for $i > j$. Let $\mathrm{Mat}^1_{h,k}(S)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(S)^\times$ consisting of matrices $M = (M_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(S)$ with $M_{ii} \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{W}_{h-1}(S) \subset \mathbb{W}_h(S)$.

There is a natural morphism of algebraic groups given by the determinant map $\det\colon \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k} \to \mathbb{W}_h$. Note that $\det(\mathrm{Mat}^1_{h,k}) \subset 1 + \mathbb{W}_{h-1} \subset \mathbb{W}_h$.

Given $A = \sum A_i \tau^i \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}$, consider the matrix

$$\iota(A) = (\iota(A)_{i,j}) := \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{n-1} \\ \pi^k\varphi(A_{n-1}) & \varphi(A_0) & \varphi(A_1) & \cdots & \varphi(A_{n-2}) \\ \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-2}) & \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-1}) & \varphi^2(A_0) & \cdots & \varphi^2(A_{n-3}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_1) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_2) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_3) & \cdots & \varphi^{n-1}(A_0) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

This defines a morphism

$$\iota\colon U^{n,q}_{h,k} \to \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}\,.$$

Now define

$$\det(A) := \det(\iota(A)) \in \mathbb{W}_h.$$

Note that $\det(\iota(A)) \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{W}_{h-1}$, and $1 + \pi\mathbb{W}_{h-1} = T_h$ as varieties, and hence we may view det as a map

$$\det\colon U^{n,q}_{h,k} \to T_h.$$

Whenever we consider det on $U^{n,q}_{h,k}$, we will always think of the target as T_h. Note that the determinant map on $U^{n,q}_{h,k}$ is not multiplicative in general.

Lemma 2.4. *Let S be an $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-algebra. The maps ι and* det *satisfy the following weak multiplicative property:*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(AB) &= \iota(A)\cdot\iota(B), && \text{for } A \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}(S) \text{ and } B \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}), \\ \det(AB) &= \det(A)\cdot\det(B), && \text{for } A \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}(S) \text{ and } B \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Pick $A = \sum A_i\tau^i \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}(S)$ and $B = \sum B_i\tau^i \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. It is a straightforward computation to see that since $\varphi^n(B_i) = B_i$, we have

$$\iota(A)\cdot\iota(B) = \iota(C), \qquad \text{for some } C \in U^{n,q}_{h,k}(S).$$

We now show that $C = AB$. First observe that

$$\pi^k \cdot B_i = \tau^n \cdot B_i = \varphi^n(B_i)\cdot\tau^n = B_i \cdot \pi^k.$$

This implies that the coefficient of τ^i in the product AB is

$$A_0B_i + A_1\varphi(B_{i-1}) + \cdots + A_i\varphi^i(B_0) + A_{i+1}\varphi^{i+1}(B_{n-1})\pi^k + \cdots + A_{n-1}\varphi^{n-1}(B_{i+1})\pi^k,$$

where the factor of π^k arises when we multiply $(A_i\tau^i)(B_j\tau^j)$ and $i + j > n$. By construction, to show that $AB = C$, it is enough to verify that the entry in the 1st row and ith column of $\iota(C)$ matches the equation above. This is easily checked, so we've now shown $\iota(AB) = \iota(A)\cdot\iota(B)$.

To finish the proof, note that if $t \in T_h(S)$ and $s \in T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$, then the product $ts \in T_h(S)$ is equal to the product $t \times_{\mathbb{W}_h(S)} s \in \mathbb{W}_h(S)$. The second assertion now follows from the first assertion. □

Definition 2.5. Let S be an $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-algebra. Define

$$X_h(S) := \{A \in U_{h,k}^{n,q}(S) : \det(A) \in T_h(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset T_h(S)\}.$$

Via the morphism ι as in Equation (2.1), $X_h(S)$ may be identified with the set of matrices $\iota(A) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(S)$ with $\det(\iota(A)) \in \mathbb{W}_h(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Definition 2.6. The two realizations of X_h—as a subvariety of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ and as a subvariety of $\mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}$—gives rise to commuting actions of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ and $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$.

- First view $X_h \subset U_{h,k}^{n,q}$. Since $\det(U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})) \subset \mathbb{W}_h(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then by Lemma 2.4, right-multiplication by $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ preserves X_h. This defines the action of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on X_h.
- Now view $X_h \subset \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}$. We may identify $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ with $\iota(T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})) \subset \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. It is easy to check that left-multiplication by $\iota(T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}))$ on $\mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}$ preserves X_h. This defines the action of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on X_h.

Furthermore, letting $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times \subset \mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}^\times(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ act by conjugation on $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ extends the right $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$-action to an action of $\mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}^\times(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times \ltimes U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$.

Remark 2.7. X_h can be defined as a variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. However, the actions of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ and $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ are only defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. ◊

Remark 2.8. Note that although the right-multiplication action of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on $\mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}$ induces the same action as the $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ action on X_h above, the left $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$-action is not the same as the action induced by left-multiplication by $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$. ◊

Remark 2.9. Consider the map

$$F\colon \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \to \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q), \qquad g \mapsto \varpi^{-1} g^\varphi \varpi, \qquad \text{where } \varpi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \pi^k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 of [B12],

$$X_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) = \{g \in \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) : F(g)g^{-1} \in U_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \cap F(U_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)^-)\},$$

where $U_h \subset \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}^\times$ (resp. $U_h^- \subset \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}^\times$) is the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular (resp. lower-triangular) matrices. This will be of use in the proof of Theorem 5.1 and in Section 6. ◊

2.2. **Indexing sets.** First note that $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ corresponds to the indexing set

$$\mathcal{A}^+ := (\{0\} \times [1, h-1]) \cup ([1, n-1] \times [0, h-k-1]).$$

If $a > b$, we define $[a, b] = \varnothing$. This indexing set is totally ordered with respect to the norm

$$|(i, j)| := i + nj.$$

For $a \neq a' \in \mathcal{A}^+$, we write $a \prec a'$ if $|a| < |a'|$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= [1, n-1] \times [0, h-k-1], \\ \mathcal{T} &:= \{0\} \times [1, h-1], \\ \mathcal{I} &:= \{(i, j) \in \mathcal{A} : |(i, j)| > n(h-k)/2\}, \\ \mathcal{J} &:= \{(i, j) \in \mathcal{A} : |(i, j)| \leq n(h-k)/2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{T}$. Given two sequences of integers

$$\begin{aligned} 1 =: m_0 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_r \leq m_{r+1} := n, \qquad & m_i \mid m_{i+1}, \\ h =: h_0 = h_1 > h_2 > \cdots > h_r > h_{r+1} := 1, & \end{aligned}$$

we can define the following subsets of $\mathcal{A}$ for $0 \leq s, t \leq r$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{s,t} &:= \{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} : |(i,j)| \leq n(h_t - k),\ m_s \mid i,\ m_{s+1} \nmid i\} \\ \mathcal{T}_{h_t} &:= \{0\} \times [1, h_t - 1], \\ \mathcal{I}_{s,t} &:= \{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_{s,t} : |(i,j)| > n(h_t - k)/2\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{s,t} &:= \{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_{s,t} : |(i,j)| \leq n(h_t - k)/2\}, \\ \mathcal{A}^+_{s,t} &:= \mathcal{A}_{s,t} \cup \mathcal{T}_{h_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{s,t} = \mathcal{I}_{s,t} \cup \mathcal{J}_{s,t}$. For any real number $\nu \geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\geq \nu, t} := \bigsqcup_{s=\lceil \nu \rceil}^{r} \mathcal{A}_{s,t}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{\geq \nu, t} := \bigsqcup_{s=\lceil \nu \rceil}^{r} \mathcal{I}_{s,t}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\geq \nu, t} := \bigsqcup_{s=\lceil \nu \rceil}^{r} \mathcal{J}_{s,t}, \quad \mathcal{A}^+_{\geq \nu, t} := \mathcal{A}_{\geq \nu, t} \cup \mathcal{T}_{h_t}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{\geq 0,0} = \mathcal{J}_{\geq 0,1}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\geq 0,0} = \mathcal{J}_{\geq 0,1}$.

Lemma 2.10. *The natural injection*

$$\mathcal{I}_{s,t} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{s,t}, \qquad (i,j) \mapsto (n - i, h_t - k - 1 - j)$$

is a bijection if and only if $(n/2, (h_t - k - 1)/2) \notin \mathcal{J}_{s,t}$.

Proof. It is clear that if $m_s \mid i$ and $m_{s+1} \nmid i$, then $m_s \mid n - i$ and $m_{s+1} \nmid n - i$. By assumption, $|(i,j)| > n(h_t - k)/2$. Then

$$|(n - i, h_t - k - 1 - j)| = (n - i) + n(h_t - k - 1 - j) = n(h_t - k) - |(i,j)| < n(h_t - k)/2.$$

It is clear from the calculation above that the map will be a bijection if and only if there is an $(i,j) \in \mathcal{J}_{s,t}$ such that $|(i,j)| = n(h_t - k)/2$. □

Remark 2.11. The bijection defined in Lemma 2.10 matches the largest elements of $\mathcal{I}_{s,t}$ with the smallest elements of $\mathcal{J}_{s,t}$, and so we have a bijection if and only if $\#\mathcal{A}_{s,t}$ is even. Note also that the divisibility condition on $\mathcal{J}_{s,t}$ implies that there is at most one s such that $(n/2, (h_t - k - 1)/2) \in \mathcal{J}_{s,t}$. Hence outside this s, the sets $\mathcal{I}_{s,t}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{s,t}$ are in bijection. ◊

One can visualize the sets $\mathcal{I}_{s,t}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{s,t}$ in the following way. In each of the diagrams, the full box is $\mathcal{A}$. Note that since $h_0 = h_1$ by construction, we have $\mathcal{I}_{0,0} = \mathcal{I}_{0,1}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{0,0} = \mathcal{J}_{0,1}$.





Remark 2.12. In Section 4 (see in particular, Section 4.2), the cohomology groups of certain constructible sheaves arising from Artin–Schreier-like local systems will be proved by an inductive process where we induct on $\mathcal{A}^+$ by removing pieces in the following order:



Step 0.5 is named to allude to the fact that this first reduction is of a different nature than the subsequent ones. These steps correspond to the following milestone propositions in the proof of Theorem 4.1: Step 0.5 corresponds to Proposition 4.4, Step $\kappa(a)$ corresponds to Proposition 4.9, and Step $\kappa(b)$ corresponds to Proposition 4.10. ◊

2.3. **The Howe factorization.** Let $\mathscr{T}_{n,h}$ denote the set of characters $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ of $T(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. Recall that we have natural surjections $\mathrm{pr}\colon T_h \to T_{h-1}$ and injections $\mathbb{G}_a \to T_h$. Furthermore, for any subfield $F \subset L$, the norm map $L^\times \to F^\times$ induces a map $\mathrm{Nm}\colon T_h(k_L) \to T_h(k_F)$. These maps induce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{pr}^*\colon \mathscr{T}_{n,h'} &\to \mathscr{T}_{n,h}, && \text{for } h' < h, \\ \mathrm{Nm}^*\colon \mathscr{T}_{m,h} &\to \mathscr{T}_{n,h}, && \text{for } m \mid n. \end{aligned}$$

By pulling back along $\mathbb{G}_a \to T_h$, we may restrict characters of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ to characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. We say that $\chi \in \mathcal{T}_{n,h}$ has *conductor* m if the stabilizer of $\chi|_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$. If $\chi \in \mathcal{T}_{n,h}$ has conductor n, we say that χ is *primitive*. We write $\mathscr{T}^0_{n,h} \subset \mathscr{T}_{n,h}$ denote the subset of primitive characters.

We can decompose $\chi \in \mathscr{T}_{n,h}$ into primitive components in the sense of Howe [H77].

Definition 2.13. The *Howe factorization* of a character $\chi \in \mathscr{T}_{n,h}$ is the unique decomposition

$$\chi = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \chi_i, \qquad \text{where } \chi_i = \mathrm{pr}^* \operatorname{Nm}^* \chi_i^0 \text{ and } \chi_i^0 \in \mathscr{T}^0_{m_i,h_i},$$

such that $m_i < m_{i+1}$, $m_i \mid m_{i+1}$, and $h_i > h_{i+1}$. It is automatic that $m_i \le n$ and $h \ge h_i$.

The Howe factorization attaches to each character $\chi \in \mathscr{T}_{n,h}$ a pair well-defined sequences of integers

$$\begin{aligned} &1 =: m_0 \le m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_r \le m_{r+1} =: n, \qquad m_i \mid m_{i+1}, \\ &h =: h_0 = h_1 > h_2 > \cdots > h_r > h_{r+1} =: 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Section 2.2, to each character $\chi \in \mathscr{T}_{n,h}$, we have associated indexing sets $\mathcal{T}_{h_t}, \mathcal{I}_{s,t}, \mathcal{J}_{s,t}, \mathcal{A}_{s,t}$.

3. On the cohomology of certain $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-local systems

In this section, we prove a general result on the cohomology of constructible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaves coming from pullbacks of local systems. We then apply this to a special case when the local system is an Artin-Schreier-like sheaf (see Proposition 3.2).

The main result (Proposition 3.1) is proved using similar ideas to the proof of Proposition 2.10 of [B12] and Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 of [C15]. Using arguments similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2, one can realize the aforementioned variations in [B12] and [C15] as corollaries of Proposition 3.1.

Throughout this paper, we choose the convention that Fr_q is the geometric Frobenius so that Fr_q acts on the Tate twist $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)$ by multiplication by q.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G, G' be algebraic groups over $\mathbb{F}_q$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a multiplicative local system on G, and consider a filtration of finite-type $\mathbb{F}_q$-schemes $S_3 \subset S_2 \subset S$. Assume that $H_c^i(G', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is concentrated in a single degree $i =$ and assume $S = S_2 \times G'$. Consider the morphisms*

$$\eta \colon S_2 \times G' \to G, \qquad \eta_x \colon G' \to G, \qquad h \mapsto \eta(x, y).$$

If η has the property that $\eta^* \mathcal{F}|_{S_3 \times G'}$ is the constant local system and $\eta_x^* \mathcal{F}$ is a nontrivial multiplicative local system on H for any $x \in S_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \smallsetminus S_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, then

$$H_c^n(S, P^* \mathcal{F}) \cong H_c^{n-r}(S_3, P_3^* \mathcal{F} \otimes H_c^r(G', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))$$

as vector spaces equipped with an action of Fr_q, where $P_2 \colon S_2 \to G$ is any morphism, $P_3 = P_2|_{S_3}$, and

$$P \colon S = S_2 \times G' \to G, \qquad (x, g) \mapsto \eta(x, g) \cdot P_2(x).$$

Proof. Let $\mathrm{pr} \colon S = S_2 \times G' \to S_2$ be the first projection and let $\iota \colon S_3 \to S_2$ be the inclusion map. Then

$$P^* \mathcal{F} \cong (\eta^* \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^* (P_2^* \mathcal{F}).$$

By the projection formula,

$$R \operatorname{pr}_! (P^* \mathcal{F}) \cong P_2^* \mathcal{F} \otimes R \operatorname{pr}_! (\eta^* \mathcal{F}) \qquad \text{in } D_c^b(S_2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

Since $\eta^* \mathcal{F}|_{S_3 \times G'} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ by assumption, the proper base change theorem applied to the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_3 \times G' & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}} \\ S_3 & \xrightarrow[\iota]{} & S_2 \end{array}$$

implies that

$$\iota^* R\,\mathrm{pr}_!(\eta^*\mathcal{F}) \cong Rg_! f^*(\eta^*\mathcal{F}) = Rg_!(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

For any $x \in S_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, the proper base change theorem applied to the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G' & \xrightarrow{f'} & S_3 \times G' \\ {\scriptstyle g'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \{x\} & \xrightarrow[i_x]{} & S_3 \end{array}$$

implies

$$(R^i g_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_x = i_x^*(R^i g_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = R^i g'_! f'^*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell = R^i g'_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell = H^i_c(G', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

It therefore follows that

$$\iota^* R^i\,\mathrm{pr}_!(\eta^*\mathcal{F}) \cong \underline{H^i_c(G', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)},$$

where the righthand side is the constant sheaf on S_3.

Now pick any $x \in S_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \smallsetminus S_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Then by the proper base change theorem applied to the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G' & \xrightarrow{f''} & S_2 \times G' \\ {\scriptstyle g''}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}} \\ \{x\} & \xrightarrow[i_x]{} & S_2 \end{array}$$

we have

$$i_x^* Rg_!(\eta^*\mathcal{F}) \cong Rg''_! f''^*(\eta^*\mathcal{F}) \cong Rg''_!(\eta_x^*\mathcal{F}).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 9.4 of [B10], we have

$$H^i_c(G', \eta_x^*\mathcal{F}) = 0 \qquad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$(R^i g_!(\eta^*\mathcal{F}))_x \cong R^i g''_!(\eta_x^*\mathcal{F}) = H^i_c(G', \eta_x^*\mathcal{F}) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

Combining all statements above, we obtain

$$R^i\,\mathrm{pr}_!(P^*\mathcal{F}) \cong P_3^*\mathcal{F} \otimes H^i_c(G', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

and the desired conclusion follows. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be a character of conductor m and let $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ be the coresponding local system on T_h. Let S_2 be a finite-type scheme over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, put $S = S_2 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and suppose that a morphism $P\colon S \to T_h$ has the form*

$$P(x,y) = g(f(x)^{q^{n-k+\sigma}}(y^{q^n} - y)^{q^\tau} + y^{q^{k+\tau}}(f(x)^{q^n} - f(x))^{q^\sigma}) \cdot P_2(x)$$

where

- *m does not divide k*
- *$f\colon S_2 \to \mathbb{A}^1$ and $P_2\colon S_2 \to T_h$ are morphisms defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and*
- *$g\colon \mathbb{A}^1 \to T_h$ is the morphism $z \mapsto (1, 0, \ldots, 0, z)$.*

Let $S_3 \subset S_2$ be the subscheme defined by $f(x)^{q^n} - f(x) = 0$ and let $P_3 = P_2|_{S_3}\colon S_3 \to Z$. Then for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$H^i_c(S, P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi) \cong H^{i-2}_c(S_3, P_3^*\mathcal{L}_\chi)(-1)$$

as vector spaces equipped with an action of Fr_{q^n}, where the Tate twist (-1) means that the action of Fr_{q^n} on $H^{i-2}_c(S_3, P_3^*\mathcal{L}_\chi)$ is multiplied by q^n.

Proof. It is clear that the conclusion holds if we can apply Proposition 3.1 to the situation when:

- $G = H$ and $G' = \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{G}_a$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_\chi$
- $\eta\colon S_2 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to H$ is $(x, y) \mapsto g(f(x)^{q^{n-k+\sigma}}(y^{q^n} - y)^{q^\tau} + y^{q^{k+\tau}}(f(x)^{q^n} - f(x))^{q^\sigma})$

To this end, we must verify that:

(a) $\eta^*\mathcal{L}_\chi|_{S_3\times\mathbb{A}^1}$ is the constant local system
(b) For any $x \in S_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \smallsetminus S_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, the sheaf $\eta_x^*\mathcal{L}_\chi$ is a nontrivial local system on T_h

(a) is clear since $S_3 = \mathbb{V}(f^{q^n} - f)$ by definition and χ is a character of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. To see (b), we use the approach of Section 6.4 of [B12]. First, note that $\eta = g \circ \eta_0$ and that

$$\eta^*\mathcal{L}_\chi \cong \eta_0^*\mathcal{L}_\psi, \qquad \text{where } \psi = \chi|_{\{(1,0,\dots,0,*)\in T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\}}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ is a multiplicative local system on $\mathbb{G}_a$ and we can write $\mathcal{L}_\psi = \mathcal{L}_z$ for some $z \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Since ψ has conductor q^m, then the stabilizer of z in $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is exactly $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$, and hence $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. By Corollary 6.5 of [B12], we have $\eta_x^*\mathcal{L}_\psi \cong \mathcal{L}_{\eta_x^*(z)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_x^*(z) &= f(x)^{q^{n-k+\sigma-n-\tau}} z^{q^{-n-\tau}} - f(x)^{q^{n-k+\sigma-\tau}} z^{q^{-\tau}} + f(x)^{q^{n+\sigma-k-\tau}} z^{q^{-k-\tau}} - f(x)^{q^{\sigma-k-\tau}} z^{q^{-k-\tau}} \\
&= f(x)^{q^{n-k+\sigma-\tau}}(z^{q^{-k-\tau}} - z^{q^{-\tau}}) - f(x)^{q^{-k+\sigma-\tau}}(z^{q^{-k-\tau}} - z^{q^{-n-\tau}}) \\
&= (f(x)^{q^{n-k+\sigma-\tau}} - f(x)^{q^{-k+\sigma-\tau}})(z^{q^{-k-\tau}} - z^{q^{-\tau}}).
\end{aligned}$$

But this is nonzero by assumption, so $\eta_x^*\mathcal{L}_\psi$ is a nontrivial local system on $\mathbb{G}_a$. □

4. Morphisms to the cohomology

In this section we prove a theorem calculating the space of homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right).$$

This result is crucial to the proofs of many of the theorems in Section 5. The finale of the proof of Theorem 4.1 is in Section 4.3.

Theorem 4.1. *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\alpha^+ := \max\{0, \alpha\}$. For any character $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^{\oplus q^{nd_\chi/2}} \otimes ((-q^{n/2})^{r_\chi})^{\deg} & \text{if } i = r_\chi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\chi &= \sum_{t=1}^{r} \left(\frac{n}{m_{t-1}} - \frac{n}{m_t}\right)(h_t - k)^+, \\
r_\chi &= \sum_{t=1}^{r} \left(\left(\frac{n}{m_{t-1}} - \frac{n}{m_t}\right)(h_t - k)^+ + 2\left(\frac{n}{m_t} - 1\right)\left((h_t - k)^+ - (h_{t+1} - k)^+\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Fr_{q^n} acts on $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by multiplication by the scalar $(-1)^i q^{ni/2}$.

Remark 4.2. Following Katz [K01], we write $\alpha^{\deg}$ to mean the rank-1 $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf with the action of Fr_q given by multiplication by α. Since X_h is a variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, the $\alpha^{\deg}$ in Theorem 4.1 means that Fr_{q^n} acts by multiplication by α. ◊

The following corollary is an easy but crucial consequence of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Let π be an irreducible constituent of $H_c^r(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ for some r. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\pi, H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } i \neq r.$$

Proof. Every irreducible representation of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ is a constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi)$ for some χ. By assumption,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^r(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \neq 0.$$

By Theorem 4.1, it follows that $r = r_\chi$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } i \neq r_\chi.$$

The desired conclusion now holds. □

It turns out that the spaces of homomorphisms in Theorem 4.1 are isomorphic to the cohomology groups of certain (pullbacks of) Artin–Schreier sheaves. To make this precise, first recall that the quotient $U_{h,k}^{n,q}/T_h$ can be identified with the affine space $\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}]$. There is a natural section of the quotient map $U_{h,k}^{n,q} \to U_{h,k}^{n,q}/T$ given by

$$\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}] \to U_{h,k}^{n,q}, \qquad (x_{ij})_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}} \mapsto \sum (x_{ij})_j \tau^i \in U_{h,k}^{n,q}.$$

Let $Y_h \subset U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ be a locally closed subvariety satisfying $X_h = L_{q^n}^{-1}(Y_h)$ and consider the morphism

$$\beta\colon (U_{h,k}^{n,q}/T_h) \times T_h \to U_{h,k}^{n,q}, \qquad (x,h) \mapsto s(F_{q^n}(x)) \cdot h \cdot s(x)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *For any character $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, we have Fr_{q^n}-compatible isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \cong H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}], P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi),$$

where $P\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}] \to T_h$ is the morphism induced by $(x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \mapsto (x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{T}_h}$, where $(x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}^+} \in \beta^{-1}(Y_h)$.

Proof. Let $Y_h \subset U_{h,k}^{n,q}$ be a locally closed subvariety satisfying $X_h = L_{q^n}^{-1}(Y_h)$. Then by Proposition 3.3 of [C15], we have a Fr_{q^n}-compatible vector space isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \cong H_c^i\left(\beta^{-1}(Y_h), P_0^*\mathcal{L}_\chi\right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ is the local system on T_h corresponding to χ and the morphism $P_0\colon \beta^{-1}(Y_h) \to T_h$ is the composition $\beta^{-1}(Y_h) \hookrightarrow (U_{h,k}^{n,q}/T_h) \times T_h \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} T_h$.

By Lemma 4.6, we know that $\beta^{-1}(Y_h)$ is the graph of $P\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}] \to T_h$ and that P_0 factors through P. It follows that

$$H_c^i\left(\beta^{-1}(Y_h), P_0^*\mathcal{L}_\chi\right) \cong H_c^i\left(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}], P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi\right).$$

This completes the proof. □

Notation 4.5. We will often consider cohomology groups of the form $H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[S], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi'})$, where $S \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{J}$ and χ' is a character of $T_{h'}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m'}})$. Here, $\mathcal{L}_{\chi'}$ is the Artin–Schreier sheaf on $T_{h'}$ associated to χ', and we abuse notation by writing P for the composition $\mathbb{A}[S] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{J}] \xrightarrow{P} T_h \to T_{h'}$. ◊

We will prove Theorem 4.1 by combining Proposition 4.4 with a calculation of the cohomology groups of the Artin–Schreier sheaves $P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi$. This calculation is driven by two ideas: the first (Proposition 4.9) is an inductive argument that can be viewed as an instance of the techniques established in Section 3, and the second (Proposition 4.10) comes from factoring the morphism P through appropriate Lang maps (see Section 4.1).

4.1. **Properties of the reduced norm map and the morphism P.** Recall that we have a morphism

$$\det\colon U_{h,k}^{n,q} \to T_h$$

that is not a morphism of algebraic groups, but satisfies a weakened multiplicative property (see Lemma 2.4).

Lemma 4.6. *Pick any $y = (y_t)_{t\in\mathcal{T}_h} \in T_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ satisfying $L_{q^n}(y) = g = (x_t)_{t\in\mathcal{T}_h}$. Then the composition*

$$\beta^{-1}(Y_h) \hookrightarrow (U_{h,k}^{n,q}/T_h) \times T_h \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} T_h$$

is given by the map

$$P\colon \beta^{-1}(Y_h) \to T_h, \qquad (x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}^+} \mapsto g \cdot L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1},$$

and the variety $\beta^{-1}(Y_h)$ is the graph of $P\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}] \to T_h$.

Lemma 4.7. *Consider the subvariety $V_h := \mathbb{V}(\det(s(x)\cdot y) - 1) \subset \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}]\times\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{T}_h] = \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}^+]$, where $x = (x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}]$ and $y = (y_t)_{t\in\mathcal{T}_h} \in \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{T}_h]$. Then the map*

$$Q\colon V_h \to T_h, \qquad ((x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}, (y_t)_{t\in\mathcal{T}_h}) \mapsto \det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1}$$

has the property that V_h is the graph of $Q\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}] \to T_h$.

Proposition 4.8. *Consider the abelianization morphism $\mathrm{ab}\colon T_h \to Z_h$. Then the following diagram commutes:*



Proof. We use the notation of Lemma 4.6 and 4.7. Then by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} L_q(\mathrm{ab}(Q(x_a))) &= L_q(\mathrm{ab}(\det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})) \\ &= L_q(\mathrm{ab}(\det(y)))\cdot L_q(\mathrm{ab}(\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})) \\ &= \mathrm{ab}(L_q(\det(y))\cdot L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{ab}(P(x_a)), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that the Lang map L_q is a group homomorphism on abelian groups, and L_q commutes with abelianization. □

4.1.1. *Proof of Lemma 4.6.* We have

$$\beta(x,g) = \mathrm{Fr}_{q^n}(s(x))\cdot L_{q^n}(y)\cdot s(x)^{-1} = L_{q^n}(s(x)\cdot y).$$

Therefore, $\beta(x,g) \in Y_h$ if and only if $s(x)\cdot y \in X_h$ for any $y \in T_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ with $L_{q^n}(y) = g$, which in turn is true if and only if $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y)) = 1 \in \mathbb{W}_h$ for any y with $L_{q^n}(y) = g$. Since the fiber of $L_{q^n}\colon T_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \to T_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ over g is $\{y\cdot y' : y' \in T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\}$, the action of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on $((x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}, (y_t)_{y\in\mathcal{T}_h})$ preserves the coordinates of $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))$. Writing $g = (x_t)_{t\in\mathcal{T}_h}$, it now follows from Proposition 3.6 of [C15] that the coordinates of $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))$ are polynomials in x_a for $a \in \mathcal{A}^+$.

To finish, it remains to show that for any $(x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}]$, there exists a unique $(x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}^+} \in \beta^{-1}(Y_h)$. To prove this, we will show that the equation $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y)) = 1$ allows us to write $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))$ as a polynomial (coordinate-wise) in x_a for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

First note that since $k > 0$ by assumption, $L_q(s(x)\cdot y) \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{W}_{h-1}$. By Proposition 3.6 of [C15], $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))$ is coordinate-wise a polynomial in x_a, $a \in \mathcal{A}^+$. We now show that

this expression is of the form (x_t − polynomial in x_a, $a \in \mathcal{A}$)$_{t\in\mathcal{T}_h}$. By definition, the diagonal contribution to the π^κ-coordinate of $\det(s(x)\cdot y)$ is exactly the π^κ-coordinate of $\det(y)$. It follows that the diagonal contribution of the π^κ-coordinate of $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))$ is exactly the π^κ-coordinate of $g = L_q(\det(y))$. In particular, the $\kappa = 1$ case implies that $\mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^2}(g \cdot L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1})$ is coordinate-wise a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since we have the identity $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y)) = 1$, we now know that $x_{0,1}$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$. We now proceed by induction. Assume that $\mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}(g \cdot L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1}) \in \mathbb{W}_\kappa$ is coordinate-wise a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^{\kappa+1}}(g \cdot L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1}) \\
&\qquad = \mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}(g) \cdot g_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot (L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1})_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot \mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}(L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1}) \\
&\qquad = g_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot (L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1})_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot \mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}(g \cdot L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y))^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

The π^κ-coordinate of the two factors gives an expression of the form

$$x_{0,\kappa} - x_{0,\kappa} + (\text{other terms}),$$

where the expression in the parentheses must be a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}^+ \smallsetminus \{(0,\kappa)\}$. By induction, the identity $L_q(\det(s(x)\cdot y)) = 1$ once again that we can write $x_{0,\kappa}$ as a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that the composition $\beta^{-1}(Y_h) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}^+] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}]$ is bijective and that $\beta^{-1}(Y_h)$ is the graph of $P\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}] \to T_h$.

4.1.2. *Proof of Lemma 4.7.* The proof of this lemma is very similar to that of Lemma 4.6.

For notational convenience, write $\det(y) = z = (1, z_{0,1}, \ldots, z_{1,h-1})$. Notice that the fiber of $\det\colon T_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \to T_h(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ above z is $\{y \cdot y' : \det(y') = 1\}$. Since $\det(y') = 1$ implies $y' \in T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$, then by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(yy') \cdot \det(s(x)\cdot y\cdot y')^{-1} &= \det(y)\cdot\det(y')\cdot\big(\det(s(x)\cdot y)\cdot\det(y')\big)^{-1} \\
&= \det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, by Proposition 3.6 of [C15], the coordinates of $\det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1}$ is are polynomials in x_a, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and z_t, $t \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

Since $k > 0$, the π^0-coordinate of $\det(s(x)\cdot y)$ is 1. By definition of $\det(s(x)\cdot y)$, the contribution of the diagonal to the π^κ-coordinate of $\det(s(x)\cdot y)$ is $z_{0,\kappa}$. In particular, the contribution of the diagonal to the π-coordinate of $\det(s(x)\cdot y)$ is the $z_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^2}(\det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})$ is coordinate-wise a polynomial in x_a, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that the equation $\det(s(x)\cdot y) = 1$ now implies that $z_{0,1}$ can be written as a polynomial in x_a, $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

We now proceed by induction. Assume that $\mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}(\det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})$ is a polynomial in x_a, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^{\kappa+1}}(\det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1}) \\
&\qquad = \mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}(\det(y)) \cdot \det(y)_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot (\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot \mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}((\det s(x)\cdot y)^{-1}) \\
&\qquad = \det(y)_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot (\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})_{\pi^\kappa} \cdot \mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^\kappa}(\det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since we know that the $\mathrm{Trunc}_{\pi^{\kappa'}}(\det(y)\cdot\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})$ is a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $z_t, t \in \mathcal{T}_{\kappa'}$ for any κ', we know that the first pair of factors, $\det(y)_{\pi^\kappa}\cdot(\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})_{\pi^\kappa}$, must be a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $z_t, t \in \mathcal{T}_{\kappa+1}$. Thus $\det(y)_{\pi^\kappa}\cdot(\det(s(x)\cdot y)^{-1})_{\pi^\kappa}$ gives rise to an expression of $z_{0,\kappa}$ as a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $z_t, t \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$. We have now shown that each z_t for $t \in \mathcal{T}$ can be written as a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $z_{t'}, t' \prec t$. Hence for any $t \in \mathcal{T}_h$, the variable z_t is uniquely determined by a polynomial in $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$. This proves that V_h is the graph of $Q\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}] \to T_h$.

4.2. **Calculation of Artin–Schreier sheaves.** In this section, we prove the two key propositions required to calculate the cohomology of the (pullbacks of) Artin–Schreier sheaves at hand. Fix a character $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ and recall from Section 2 that the Howe factorization of χ gives rise to associated indexing sets $\mathcal{T}_{h_t}, \mathcal{I}_{s,t}, \mathcal{J}_{s,t}, \mathcal{A}_{s,t}$.

Proposition 4.9 is essentially proved by applying Proposition 3.2 inductively. The main calculation is to show that the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2 hold. Proposition 4.9 is proved in Section 4.2.1.

Proposition 4.9. *For $1 \le t \le r$, we have Fr_{q^n}-compatible isomorphisms*

$$H_c^i\left(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\ge t-1,t}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\ge t}}\right) \cong H_c^i\left(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\ge t,t}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\ge t}}\right)^{\oplus q^{nd_t/2}}[d_t] \otimes ((-q^{n/2})^{d_t})^{\deg},$$

where $d_t = \#\mathcal{A}_{t-1,t}$.

The main idea behind Proposition 4.10 is Proposition 4.8. Proposition 4.10 is proved in Section 4.2.2.

Proposition 4.10. *We have Fr_{q^n}-compatible isomorphisms*

$$H_c^i\left(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\ge t,t}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi\ge t}\right) \cong H_c^i\left(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\ge t,t+1}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\ge t+1}}\right)[2e_t] \otimes ((-q^{n/2})^{2e_t})^{\det},$$

where $e_t = \#(\mathcal{A}_{\ge t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\ge t,t+1})$.

4.2.1. *Proof of Proposition 4.9.* The main ideas in the proof of Proposition 4.9 are Lemmas 4.11 and 4.12. We will need some notation that will be used in these two lemmas and also in the proof of the proposition at hand. Define

$$I_0 := \mathcal{I}_{t-1,t} = \{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} : i \ne 0,\ n(h_t-k) > |(i,j)| > n(h_t-k)/2,\ m_{t-1} \mid i,\ m_t \nmid i\},$$
$$J_0 := \mathcal{J}_{t-1,t} = \{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} : i \ne 0,\ |(i,j)| \le n(h_t-k),\ m_{t-1} \mid i,\ m_t \nmid i\}.$$

These two sets are totally ordered and come with an order-reversing injection

$$I_0 \hookrightarrow J_0, \qquad (i,j) \mapsto (n-i, h_t-k-1-j)$$

as in Lemma 2.10. This map is a bijection if and only if $(n/2, (h_t-k-1)/2) \notin J_0$, and $\#J_0 - \#I_0 = 1$ otherwise. Given this, there is a natural filtration on I_0 by iteratively removing the lowest-norm element, and analogously a natural filtration on J_0 by iteratively removing the highest-norm element. It is clear that these filtrations are compatible under the map $I_0 \to J_0$ above. Precisely, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, we may define

$$I_{\kappa+1} := I_\kappa \smallsetminus \{\min I_\kappa\}, \qquad J_{\kappa+1} := J_\kappa \smallsetminus \{\max J_\kappa\}.$$

Lemma 4.11. *For each $\kappa \le \#I_0 - 1$, we have Fr_{q^n}-compatible isomorphisms*

$$H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\ge t,t} \cup (I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa)], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\ge t}}) \cong H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\ge t,t} \cup (I_{\kappa+1} \cup J_{\kappa+1})], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\ge t}})^{\oplus q^n}[2] \otimes (q^n)^{\deg}.$$

Proof. Recall that by definition I_κ and J_κ depend on t. This proof is driven by the following simple goal: to apply Proposition 3.2. To this end, the content of this lemma is the calculation that the polynomial P has the required form for $f(x) = x_{\min I_\kappa}$ and $y = x_{\max J_\kappa}$ in the two settings.

We first remark that in the case $t = 1$, a variation of this was proved in Lemma 5.11 of [C15]. We give a slightly different proof here that does not refer to juggling sequences or the explicit equations cutting out X_h.

Step 1. Consider the matrix

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{n-1} \\ \pi^k\varphi(A_{n-1}) & \varphi(A_0) & \varphi(A_1) & \cdots & \varphi(A_{n-2}) \\ \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-2}) & \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-1}) & \varphi^2(A_0) & \cdots & \varphi^2(A_{n-3}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_1) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_2) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_3) & \cdots & \varphi^{n-1}(A_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_0 = (1, A_{0,1}, \dots, A_{0,h_t-1}) \in \mathbb{W}_{h_t}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, and $A_i = (A_{i,0}, \dots, A_{i,h_t-k-1}) \in \mathbb{W}_{h_t-k}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ for $i \neq 0$. Recall that X_h is the variety defined by the equation

$$\varphi(\det(A)) = \det(A) \in \mathbb{W}_{h_t}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q).$$

For convenience, write

$$\det(A) = (*, \dots, *, z) \in \mathbb{W}_{h_t}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q).$$

In this lemma, we are only concerned with understanding the last coordinate z.

Assume that $A_{(i,j)} = 0$ for $(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_{\geq t+1,t} \smallsetminus (I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa)$. Set $\nu := \max I_\kappa$ and $\nu' := \min J_\kappa$. By definition, for any matrix $M = (M_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$,

$$\det(M) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{i,\sigma(i)}.$$

Observe that our assumption implies that if A_v contributes to z in the summand corresponding to σ, then σ must be a transposition of the form $(a, a+n-i)$. We may visualize $\sigma = (a, a+n-i)$ as the summand obtained by the antidiagonal vertices in the square



The top right corner is either $A_i^{q^{a-1}}$ or $A_{n-i}^{q^{a-1}}$. The two situations are symmetrical, so assume that we are in the first setting. This implies that the bottom left corner is $A_{n-i}^{q^{a+i-1}}\pi^k$. It follows that for each $a \leq n-i$, the contribution to z is of the form

$$\begin{cases} A_\nu^{q^{a-1}} A_{\nu'}^{q^{a+i-1}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ A_\nu^{q^{a-1+h_t-k-1-j+k}} A_{\nu'}^{q^{a+i-1+k+j}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the contribution to $z^q - z$ is $\sum^q - \sum$, where the sum is taken over all $a \leq n-i$:

$$\begin{cases} A_\nu^{q^{n-i}} A_{\nu'}^{q^n} - A_\nu A_{\nu'}^{q^i}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ A_\nu^{q^{n-i+h_t-1-j}} A_{\nu'}^{q^{n+k+j}} - A_\nu^{q^{h_t-1-j}} A_{\nu'}^{q^{i+k+j}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Analogously, in the second setting, the bottom left corner is $A_i^{q^{a+n-i-1}}\pi^k$, and it follows that for each $a \leq i$, the contribution to z is of the form

$$\begin{cases} A_{\nu'}^{q^{a-1}} A_\nu^{q^{a+n-i-1}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ A_{\nu'}^{q^{a-1+j+k}} A_\nu^{q^{a+n-i-1+k+h_t-k-1-j}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus the contribution to $z^q - z$ is

$$\begin{cases} A_{\nu'}^{q^i} A_\nu^{q^n} - A_{\nu'} A_\nu^{q^{n-i}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ A_{\nu'}^{q^{i+j+k}} A_\nu^{q^{n+h_t-1-j}} - A_{\nu'}^{q^{j+k}} A_\nu^{q^{n-i+h_t-1-j}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Adding Equations (4.1) and (4.2) together, we see that the contribution of A_ν to $z^q - z$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} -A_\nu^{q^{n-i}}(A_{\nu'}^{q^n} - A_{\nu'}) - A_{\nu'}^{q^i}(A_\nu^{q^n} - A_\nu), & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ -A_\nu^{q^{n-i+h_t-1-j}}(A_{\nu'}^{q^n} - A_{\nu'})^{q^{j+k}} - A_{\nu'}^{q^{i+k+j}}(A_\nu^{q^n} - A_\nu)^{q^{h_t-1-j}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Step 2. Now consider

$$s(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \tau^i, \qquad \text{where } x_i = (x_{ij})_{j:(i,j)\in \mathcal{I}_{\geq 1}\cup J_0},$$
$$y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \tau^i \in T_h, \qquad \text{where } L_{q^n}(y) = g = \sum x_i \tau^i \in T_h,$$

and write

$$A := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (A_{ij})_j \tau^i := s(x) \cdot y.$$

Note that the variables x_{ij} for $s(x)$ and for g are disjoint, so there is no conflict of notation. By construction, to understand $P\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa] \to T_{h_t}$, we need to understand the contribution of x_ν to the last coordinate $z^q - z$ of $\det(A)$ in the situation where $x_{ij}, y_{ij} = 0$ for $(i,j) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa \cup (\{0\} \times [1, h_t - 1])$. Note that this vanishing assumption implies that $x_\nu = y_\nu^{q^n} - y_\nu$.

By assumption $\nu \notin \mathcal{T}_t$ and $y \in T_{h_t}$, and so $x_{\nu'}$ and x_ν appear only in

$$A_{\nu'} = x_{\nu'}, \qquad A_\nu = x_\nu + \cdots,$$

where the ellipses indicate terms with $(i,j) \prec \nu$. As before, the contribution of x_ν to P is the negative of the contribution of x_ν to $z^q - z$, and so by Equation (4.3), the contribution of x_ν to P is given by

$$\begin{cases} x_{\nu'}^{q^i}(x_\nu^{q^n} - x_\nu) + x_\nu^{q^{n-i}}(x_{\nu'}^{q^n} - x_{\nu'}), & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ x_{\nu'}^{q^{i+k+j}}(x_\nu^{q^n} - x_\nu)^{q^{h_t-1-j}} + x_\nu^{q^{n-i+h_t-1-j}}(x_{\nu'}^{q^n} - x_{\nu'})^{q^{j+k}}, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0. \end{cases}$$

Step 3. By Step 2, $P\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup (I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa)] \to T_h$ has the form

$$P(x,y) = g(f(x)^{q^{i+\sigma}}(y^{q^n} - y)^{q^\tau} + y^{q^{n-i+\tau}}(f(x)^{q^n} - f(x))^{q^\sigma}) \cdot P(x),$$

where $y = x_\nu$ and $f\colon S_2 := \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup (I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa) \smallsetminus \{\nu\}] \to \mathbb{G}_a$ is given by $f(x) = x_{\nu'}$. Explicitly,

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ j + k, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0, \end{cases} \qquad \tau = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = p, \\ h_t - 1 - j, & \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup (I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa)], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t,t}}) \cong H_c^i(S_3, P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi)[2] \otimes (q^n)^{\deg},$$

where $S_3 \subset S_2$ is the subscheme defined by the equation $f(x)^{q^n} - f(x) = 0$. Note that S_3 is a disjoint union of q^n copies of the affine space $\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup (I_{\kappa+1} \cup J_{\kappa+1})]$. The desired conclusion of Lemma 4.11 follows directly. □

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose* $\#J_0 - \#I_0 = 1$. *Then* $(n/2, (h_t - k - 1)/2) \in J_0$ *and we have* Fr_{q^n}*-compatible isomorphisms*

$$H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup \{(n/2, (h_t - k - 1)/2)\}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) = H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}})^{q^{n/2}}[1] \otimes (-q^{n/2})^{\deg}$$

Proof. By assumption, $\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}$ does not contain any elements smaller than $v := (n/2, (h_t - k - 1)/2)$. It follows that this point only contributes nontrivially to the last coordinate of T_{h_t} and we may therefore write $P = (P_0 \circ \mathrm{pr}) \cdot \eta$, where $\mathrm{pr}\colon \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup \{v\}] \to \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}]$ is the natural projection, $P_0 = P|_{\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}]}$ and $\eta\colon \mathbb{A}[\{v\}] \to T_{h_t}$ is given by $x \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, x^{q^{n/2}}(x^{q^n} - x))$. Then

$$P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}} = \mathrm{pr}^*(P_0^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) \otimes \eta^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}$$

and so by the projection formula,

$$R\,\mathrm{pr}_!(P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) \cong P_0^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}} \otimes R\,\mathrm{pr}_!(\eta^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) \qquad \text{in } D_c^b(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

The proper base change formula tells us

$$R^i\,\mathrm{pr}_!(\eta^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}})_x \cong H_c^i(\mathbb{G}_a, \eta^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}})$$

and by Step 4: Case 2 of Proposition 6.1 of [C15], we have

$$\dim H_c^i(\mathbb{G}_a, \eta^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) = \begin{cases} q^{n/2}, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, Fr_{q^n} acts on $H_c^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \eta^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}})$ by multiplication by $-q^{n/2}$. □

The desired conclusion of Proposition 4.9 now follows by combining Lemmas 4.11 and 4.12. Explicitly, by Lemma 4.11,

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t-1,t}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) &= H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup (I_0 \cup J_0)], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) \\ &\cong H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup (I_\kappa \cup J_\kappa)], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}})[2\kappa] \otimes ((-q^{n/2})^{2\kappa})^{\deg} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa \leq \#I_0$. Clearly $I_{\#I_0} = \varnothing$. If $J_{\#I_0} = \varnothing$, then we are done. Otherwise, $J_{\#I_0} = \{(n/2, (h_t - k - 1)/2)\}$ and by Lemma 4.12,

$$\begin{aligned} &H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \cup (I_{\#I_0} \cup J_{\#I_0})], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}})[2\#I_0] \otimes ((-q^{n/2})^{2\#I_0})^{\deg} \\ &\qquad \cong H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}})^{q^{n/2}}[2\#I_0 + 1] \otimes ((-q^{n/2})^{2\#I_0+1})^{\deg}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to observe that if $J_{\#I_0} = \varnothing$, then $2\#I_0 = \#(I_0 \cup J_0) = \#(\mathcal{I}_{t-1,t} \cup \mathcal{J}_{t-1,t})$, and otherwise, $2\#I_0 + 1 = \#(I_0 \cup J_0) = \#(\mathcal{I}_{t-1,t} \cup \mathcal{J}_{t-1,t})$. This completes the proof.

4.2.2. *Proof Proposition 4.10.* By definition,

$$\chi_{\geq t} = \chi_t \cdot \chi_{\geq t+1},$$

where χ_t has level h_t and conductor m_t, and $\chi_{\geq t+1}$ has level $h_{t+1} < h_t$ and conductor $m_{t+1} > m_t$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}} = \mathcal{L}_{\chi_t} \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*\,\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}}$$

as sheaves on T_{h_t}, where $\mathrm{pr}\colon T_{h_t} \to T_{h_{t+1}}$. Note that since these local systems correspond to one-dimensional representations, we have

$$\mathrm{ab}^*\,\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}} = \mathrm{ab}^*\,\mathcal{L}_{\chi_t} \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*\,\mathrm{ab}^*\,\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}},$$

where ab is the abelianization map $T_\bullet \to Z_\bullet$ and we view each $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ as a sheaf on $Z_\bullet$.

The natural morphism

$$\begin{aligned} U_{h_t,k}^{n/m_t, q^{m_t}} &\to \left\{ \textstyle\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}^+} (A_{i,j})_j \tau^i \right\} \subseteq U_{h,k}^{n,q} \\ \textstyle\sum (A_{i,j})_j \tau^i &\mapsto \textstyle\sum_{(im_t,j) \in \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}^+} (A_{im_t,j})_j \tau^{im_t} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus we may apply Proposition 4.8 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}} &= P^* \operatorname{ab}^* \mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}} \\ &= P^* \operatorname{ab}^* \mathcal{L}_{\chi_t} \otimes P^* \operatorname{pr}^* \operatorname{ab}^* \mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}} \\ &= Q^* \operatorname{ab}^* (L^*_{q^{m_t}} \mathcal{L}_{\chi_t}) \otimes P^* \operatorname{pr}^* \operatorname{ab}^* \mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By construction, χ_t factors through $T_{h_t}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m_t}})$ and hence $L^*_{q^{m_t}}\mathcal{L}_{\chi_t}$ is the trivial local system, which we denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Hence

$$P^*\mathcal{L}_{\geq t} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes P^* \operatorname{pr}^* \mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}},$$

and we can view $P^*\mathcal{L}_{\geq t}$ as the sheaf on the product $\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}] \times \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}]$ given by tensoring the constant sheaf $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ on $\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}]$ and $P^*\mathcal{L}_{\geq t+1}$ on $\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}]$. Thus by the Künneth formula,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t}}) &= H^i_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}] \times \mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{r+s=i} H^r_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \otimes H^s_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}}) \\ &= H^i_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}], P^*\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{\geq t+1}})[2\#(\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1})] \\ &\qquad \otimes ((-q^{n/2})^{2\#(\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1})})^{\deg}. \end{aligned}$$

4.3. **Morphisms: proof of Theorem 4.1.** Let $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be any character, and let $\mathcal{A}_{s,t}$ be the associated indexing sets. By combining Propositions 4.4, 4.9, and 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{U^{n,q}_{h,k}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})} \left(\operatorname{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U^{n,q}_{h,k}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H^i_c(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \right) &\cong H^i_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}], P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi) && \text{(Prop 4.4)} \\ &= H^i_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0,1}], P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi) && (\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\geq 0,1}) \\ &\cong H^i_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq 1,1}], P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi)^{\oplus q^{nd_1/2}}[d_1](d_1/2) && \text{(Prop 4.9)} \\ &\cong H^i_c(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq 1,2}], P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi)^{\oplus q^{nd_1/2}}[d_1+2e_1]((d_1+2e_1)/2) && \text{(Prop 4.10)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so forth. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} d_t &= \#\mathcal{A}_{t-1,t} \\ &= \#\{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} : |(i,j)| \leq n(h_t - k),\ m_{t-1} \mid i,\ m_t \nmid i\} \\ &= \left(\tfrac{n}{m_{t-1}} - \tfrac{n}{m_t}\right)(h_t - k)^+, \\ e_t &= \#(\mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_{\geq t,t+1}) \\ &= \#\{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} : n(h_{t+1} - k) < |(i,j)| \leq n(h_t - k),\ m_t \mid i,\ n \nmid i\} \\ &= \left(\tfrac{n}{m_t} - 1\right)\left((h_t - k)^+ - (h_{t+1} - k)^+\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus setting

$$\begin{aligned} d_\chi &:= d_1 + \cdots + d_r \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^r \left(\frac{n}{m_{t-1}} - \frac{n}{m_t}\right)(h_t - k)^+, \\ r_\chi &:= (d_1 + \cdots + d_r) + 2(e_1 + \cdots + e_r) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^r \left(\left(\frac{n}{m_{t-1}} - \frac{n}{m_t}\right)(h_t - k)^+ + 2\left(\frac{n}{m_t} - 1\right)\left((h_t - k)^+ - (h_{t+1} - k)^+\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

the cohomology groups above are isomorphic to

$$H_c^i(\mathbb{A}[\mathcal{A}_{\geq r,r+1}], P^*\mathcal{L}_\chi)^{q^{nd_\chi/2}}[r_\chi](r_\chi/2).$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\geq r,r+1}$ is empty and we write $\mathbb{A}[\varnothing]$ to mean the variety given by a single point. Hence we obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^{\oplus q^{nd_\chi/2}}, & \text{if } i = r_\chi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, since Fr_{q^n} acts trivially on $H_c^0(*, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, then Fr_{q^n} acts by multiplication by $(-1)^{r_\chi}q^{nr_\chi/2}$ on the above space of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$-homomorphisms. Since every representation occurs in at least one of $\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi)$, the above gives a complete description of the Fr_{q^n}-action on $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, and this action is always by multiplication by $(-1)^i q^{ni/2}$.

5. Deligne–Lusztig theory for finite unipotent groups

In this section, we prove the main theorems of this paper. Theorem 5.2 proves Conjecture 5.16 in full generality (simultaneously with the analogous conjecture for $k \neq 1$ and $\mathrm{char}\, K = 0$). Theorem 5.3 proves Conjecture 5.17 in full generality (again simultaneously with the analogous conjecture for $k \neq 1$ and $\mathrm{char}\, K = 0$). These results can be viewed naturally as the higher-dimensional analogues of the results of Boyarchenko and Weinstein on the cohomology of X_2 in [BW16]. In Section 5.1, we demonstrate how to realize the main theorems of [BW16] and [C15] as corollaries of the theorems in this paper.

Theorem 5.1 is very close to the results of Lusztig in [L79] and [L04]. In the former, Lusztig proves the analogue of Theorem 5.1 for the norm-1 subgroup of a division algebra. In the latter, Lusztig calculates the closely related groups $\sum(-1)^i H_c^i(S_h)_\theta$, where S_h is a particular cover of X_h. We prove Theorem 5.1 using Lusztig's techniques in Section 5.2.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $R_\chi := \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$. For each $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, the $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$-representation $\pm R_\chi$ is irreducible. If $\chi \neq \chi'$, then $\pm R_\chi, \pm R_{\chi'}$ are nonisomorphic.*

Theorems 5.2 and 5.3 now follow from Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.1, and Corollary 4.3.

Theorem 5.2. *The varieties X_h are maximal varieties in the sense of Boyarchenko–Weinstein (see [BW16]). That is, for each $i \geq 0$, we have $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = 0$ unless i or n is even, and the Frobenius morphism Fr_{q^n} acts on $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by the scalar $(-1)^i q^{ni/2}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, Fr_{q^n} acts on $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by multiplication by $(-1)^i q^{ni/2}$. To finish, we show that $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = 0$ if i and n are both odd. Assume that n is odd. By definition of r_χ, it is enough to show that the sum $d_1 + \cdots + d_r$ is always even. We have

$$d_t = \left(\frac{n}{m_{t-1}} - \frac{n}{m_t}\right)(h_t - k)^+,$$

and since n is odd by assumption, then n/m_{t-1} and n/m_t must also be odd, and hence d_t is even. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.3. *For any $\chi \in \mathcal{T}$, the cohomology groups $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ are nonzero in a single degree $i = s_\chi$, and $H_c^{s_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ is an irreducible representation of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. Moreover, $H_c^{s_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi] \cong H_c^{s_{\chi'}}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi']$ if and only if $\chi = \chi'$.*

Proof. Let π be an irreducible constituent in $H_c^{s_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ for some s_χ. Then by Corollary 4.3,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\pi, H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } i \neq s_\chi.$$

But this implies that the alternating sum $\pm R_\chi = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ can have no cancellation, and $\pi \cong \pm R_\chi$. By Theorem 5.1,

$$H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi] = \begin{cases} \text{irreducible}, & \text{if } i = s_\chi, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $\chi \neq \chi'$, then $\pm R_\chi$ and $\pm R_{\chi'}$ are nonisomorphic by Theorem 5.1 and it follows easily that $H_c^{s_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ and $H_c^{s_{\chi'}}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi']$ must also be nonisomorphic. □

Theorem 5.4. *For any generator ζ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left((\zeta, 1, g)^*; H_c^{s_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]\right) = (-1)^{s_\chi} \chi(g).$$

Proof. This is identical to the proof of Proposition 6.2 if [C15]. □

Up to now, we have only shown that $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ is concentrated in a single degree s_χ. Based on Theorem 4.1, it is natural to expect that $s_\chi = r_\chi$. We resolve this question in Theorem 5.5, whose proof we give in Section 5.3. The proof uses purely cohomological techniques and essentially is a combination of Theorem 5.4 together with the Deligne–Lusztig fixed point formula.

Theorem 5.5. *For any $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^{s_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]\right) \neq 0.$$

In particular, $s_\chi = r_\chi$.

Our last theorem of this section gives a formula for the zeta function of X_h.

Theorem 5.6. *The zeta function of X_h is*

$$Z(t) = \prod_{i=0}^{2\dim X_h} \left(1 - (-q^{n/2})^i \cdot t\right)^{(-1)^{i+1} \dim H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)},$$

where

$$\dim H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \sum_{\substack{\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \\ r_\chi = i}} q^{n d_\chi/2}.$$

Moreover, if n is odd, then $Z(t)^{-1}$ is a polynomial.

Proof. By the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula,

$$Z(t) = \prod_{i=0}^{2\dim X_h} \left(\det((1 - t\,\mathrm{Fr}_{q^n}); H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))\right)^{(-1)^{i+1}}.$$

By Theorem 5.2, we know that Fr_{q^n} acts on $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by multiplication by $(-q^{n/2})^i$, so

$$\det((1 - t\,\mathrm{Fr}_{q^n}); H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = (1 - (-q^{n/2})^i \cdot t)^{\dim H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)}.$$

It remains to prove the dimension formula.

Let $H_c^\bullet(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \bigoplus_i H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. By Theorem 5.3, $H_c^\bullet(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is a direct sum of distinct irreducible representations of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ (parametrized by $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$). Write

$$H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \pi_{i,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{i,k_i},$$

where the π_{ij} are nonisomorphic irreducible representations of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. Recall that the regular representation $\mathrm{Reg} := \mathrm{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(1)$ has the property that an irreducible $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$-representation π has multiplicity $\dim \pi$ in Reg. Then

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Reg}, H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Reg}, \bigoplus \pi_{ij}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \dim \pi_{ij}.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Reg}, H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \\
&\qquad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\bigoplus \mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \\
&\qquad = \dim \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\to\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \\ r_\chi = i}} \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{\substack{\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\to\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \\ r_\chi = i}} q^{nd_\chi/2}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the dimension formula. The final assertion now follows from Theorem 5.2 since if n is odd and $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \neq 0$, then i must be even, and hence $Z(t)$ has no nontrivial factors in the numerator. □

5.1. **Examples.** Prior to this work, the only cases in which the $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$-representations $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ had been studied were in the following cases:

(a) For $h = 2$ and $k = 1$, this was done by Boyarchenko–Weinstein in [BW16].
(b) For h, k arbitrary and χ primitive, this was done by the author in [C15].

We explain how to specialize Theorems 4.1, 5.2, and 5.3 to recover these results.

5.1.1. *The case $h = 2, k = 1$.* Let $\chi\colon T_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be a character of conductor m. That is, m is smallest such that χ factors through $T_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$. Then the sequences $\{m_i\}, \{h_i\}$ associated to the Howe factorization of χ are:

$$1 = m_0 \leq m_1 = m \leq m_2 = n, \qquad 2 = h = h_0 = h_1 > h_2 = 1.$$

Then $r_\chi = \left(n - \frac{n}{m}\right)(2-1) + 2\left(\frac{n}{m} - \frac{n}{n}\right)(2-1) = n + n/m - 2$, and Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{2,1}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{2,1}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right) \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad i = n + n/m - 2.$$

The center of $U_{2,q}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ is $T_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. Since the actions of $T_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ and $U_{2,1}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on X_2 agree on the center of $U_{2,1}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$, the above equation implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{2,1}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{2,1}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]\right) \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad i = n + n/m - 2.$$

Note that the centrality of $T_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ in $U_{2,1}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ (which is not true for $h > 2$) allowed us to obtain Theorem 5.5 from Theorem 4.1 automatically. We now see that maximality of X_2 holds by Theorem 5.2 (this is Theorem 4.5.1(b) of [BW16]), and the irreducibility and multiplicity-one properties of $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ follow from Theorem 5.3 (this is Theorem 4.5.1(a) of [BW16]).

5.1.2. *The case h,k arbitrary and χ primitive.* Let $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be primitive; that is, the restriction of χ to the subgroup $H_0(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) := \{(1,0,\dots,0,*) \in T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\}$ does not factor through $H_0(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ for any m. Then the sequences $\{m_i\},\{h_i\}$ associated to the Howe factorization of χ are:

$$1 \le m_0 \le m_1 = n \le m_2 = n, \qquad h = h_0 = h_1 > h_2 = k.$$

Then $r_\chi = \left(n - \frac{n}{n}\right)(h-k)^+ + 2\left(\frac{n}{n} - \frac{n}{n}\right)(h-k)^+ = (n-1)(h-k)^+$, and Theorem 4.1 implies

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi), H_c^i(X_h,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]\right) \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad i = (n-1)(h-k)^+.$$

The subgroup $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ is not central in $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$, but the center of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ contains $H_0(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. Since all irreducible representations of $U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ with primitive central characters are summands of $\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}^{U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi)$ for some primitive χ, then the above equation implies

$$H_c^i(X_h,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi] \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad i = (n-1)(h-k)^+.$$

Note that again, Theorem 4.1 was enough to immediately pinpoint the nonvanishing cohomological degree of $H_c^i(X_h,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$. The irreducibility and multiplicity-one properties of $H_c^i(X_h,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ follow from Theorem 5.3 (this is Theorem 6.3 of [C15]).

5.2. **Alternating sums of eigenspaces: proof of Theorem 5.1.** It is enough to show that the $(T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}))$-eigenspaces of the cohomology of $\Sigma = (X_h \times X_h)/U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ satisfy

$$\sum (-1)^i \dim H_c^i(\Sigma,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\chi,\chi'^{-1}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \chi = \chi', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We follow a technique of Lusztig demonstrated in [L79] wherein we construct an action of an connected torus $\mathcal{T}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ on Σ and then use the fact (see, for example, Proposition 10.15 of [DM91]) that

$$\sum (-1)^i H_c^i(\Sigma,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \sum (-1)^i H_c^i(\Sigma^{\mathcal{T}},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

First let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}_{\mathrm{h,k}}^1 := \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ and recall that

$$X_h = \{g \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}_{\mathrm{h,k}}^1 : F(g)g^{-1} \in \widetilde{U}_h \cap F(\widetilde{U}_h^-)\}.$$

It is clear that the map

$$\begin{aligned} X_h \times X_h \to \{(x,x',y,y') \in (\widetilde{U}_h \cap F(\widetilde{U}_h^-)) \times (\widetilde{U}_h \cap F(\widetilde{U}_h^-)) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}_{\mathrm{h,k}}^1 \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}_{\mathrm{h,k}}^1 : \\ xy = F(y),\ y' = F(y')x'\}, \\ (g,g') \mapsto (F(g)g^{-1}, F(g')g'^{-1}, g, g'^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Since the $(\widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}_{\mathrm{h,k}}^1)^F = U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$, then for any $g \in X_h$ and $h \in U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$, we have $gh \in X_h$, and the image of $(gh, g'h)$ is $(F(g)g^{-1}, F(g')g'^{-1}, gh, h^{-1}g'^{-1})$. Moreover, the $F(gh)(gh)^{-1} = F(g)g^{-1}$ if and only if $h \in U_{h,k}^{n,q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$. It follows from this that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \to \{(x,x',y) \in (\widetilde{U}_h \cap F(\widetilde{U}_h^-)) \times (\widetilde{U}_h \cap F(\widetilde{U}_h^-)) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}_{\mathrm{h,k}}^1 : xy = F(y)x'\}, \\ (g,g') \mapsto (F(g)g^{-1}, F(g')g'^{-1}, gg'^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

We have

$$\widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}_h) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ * & * & * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}^1_{\mathrm{h,k}} \right\}, \quad \widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}_h^-), = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ * & 1 & & \\ * & * & 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}^1_{\mathrm{h,k}} \right\}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\widetilde{U}_h \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}_h^-) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & * \\ & 1 & 0 & * \\ & & 1 & * \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}^1_{\mathrm{h,k}} \right\}, \qquad \widetilde{U}_h \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}_h) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * & 0 \\ & 1 & * & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}^1_{\mathrm{h,k}} \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Hence we see that $y \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}^1_{\mathrm{h,k}}$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$y = y_1' y_2' y_1'' y_2'', \qquad y_1' \in \widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}_h), \qquad y_2' \in \widetilde{D}_h(\widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}_h^-)),$$
$$y_1'' \in \widetilde{U}_h \cap F^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h^-, \qquad y_2'' \in \widetilde{U}_h \cap F^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h,$$

where $\widetilde{D}_h \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Mat}}^1_{\mathrm{h,k}}$ is the subgroup of diagonal matrices. Hence Σ is in bijection with the set of $(x, x', y_1', y_2', y_1'', y_2'')$ satisfying

$$xy_1'y_2'y_1''y_2'' = F(y_1'y_2'y_1''y_2'')x'.$$

Notice now that any $z \in \widetilde{U}_h$ can be written uniquely in the form $y_2'' x' F(y_2'')^{-1}$ for some $y_2'' \in \widetilde{U}_h \cap F^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h$ and $x' \in \widetilde{U}_h \cap F\widetilde{U}_h^-$. Indeed, it is easy to see that for any y_2'', we have $(\widetilde{U}_h \cap F\widetilde{U}_h^-) \cdot F(y_2'') = \widetilde{U}_h$ and that for any y_2'' and any z, there exists a unique x' such that $z = x'F(y_2'')$. In particular, for any y_2'' and any z, there exists a unique x' such that $y_2''z = x'F(y_2'')$. Thus, letting $\widetilde{x} := xy_1$, we have that Σ is in bijection with tuples $(\widetilde{x}, y_1', y_2', y_1'')$ satisfying

$$\widetilde{x}y_2' \in F(y_1'y_2'y_1'') \cdot \widetilde{U}_h.$$

Consider the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \times \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ given by

$$H := \{(t, t') : t, t' \text{ diag}; \, t \in \widetilde{D}_h t'; \, F(t)^{-1}t = F(t')^{-1}t' \text{ centralizes } \widetilde{D}_h(\widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h^-)\}.$$

For $(t, t') \in H$ consider the map

$$a_{(t,t')} \colon (\widetilde{x}, y_1', y_2', y_1'') \mapsto (F(t)\widetilde{x}F(t)^{-1}, ty_1't^{-1}, ty_2't'^{-1}, t'y_1''t'^{-1}).$$

We first show that $a_{(t,t')}$ defines a map $\Sigma \to \Sigma$: if $\widetilde{x}y_2' \in F(y_1'y_2'y_1'') \cdot \widetilde{U}$, then $F(t)\widetilde{x}y_2' \in F(t)F(y_1'y_2'y_1'') \cdot F(t')^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h F(t')$, which implies

$$F(t)\widetilde{x}F(t)^{-1}ty_2't'^{-1} \in F(t)F(y_1')F(y_2')F(y_1'')F(t')^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h,$$

where we used that $F(t)^{-1}t$ centralizes $\widetilde{D}_h(\widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h)$ and that $F(t') = F(t)^{-1}tt'^{-1}$. It is now clear that $a_{(t,t')}$ for $(t, t') \in H$ defines an action on Σ.

Observe that H is abelian and contains $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ as a subgroup. Moreover, the action of H restricts to the left action of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ inherited from the left-multiplication action of $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on X_h. We now pinpoint an algebraic torus $\mathcal{T} \subset H$. By Equation (5.1), we see that $a := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{h,k}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ centralizes $\widetilde{D}_h(\widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h^-)$. If $t = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ has the property that $t = F(t)a$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & & \\ & t_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & t_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(t_n) & & & \\ & \varphi(t_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \varphi(t_{n-1}) \cdot a_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if we set $t_n = \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$, then $t(\xi) := \operatorname{diag}\left(\varphi(\xi), \varphi^2(\xi), \cdots, \varphi^{n-1}(\xi), \xi\right)$ has the property that $F(t)^{-1}t$ centralizes $\widetilde{D}_h(\widetilde{U}_h^- \cap F^{-1}\widetilde{U}_h^-)$. Now

$$\mathcal{T} := \{(t(\xi), t(\xi)) : \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times\} \subset H$$

is a connected algebraic torus over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ whose action on Σ commutes with the $(T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}))$-action. One can easily see that the $\mathcal{T}$-fixed point set of Σ is

$$\Sigma^{\mathcal{T}} = \{(1, 1, y_2', 1) : y_2' \in \widetilde{D}_h,\ y_2' \in F(y_2') \cdot \widetilde{U}_h\} = \{(1, 1, y_2', 1) : y_2' \in \widetilde{D}_h^F\} \cong T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}),$$

where under the final identification, $T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ acts by $(t, t') * y_2' = ty_2't'^{-1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum (-1)^i H_c^i(\Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\chi,\chi'^{-1}} &= \sum (-1)^i H_c^i(T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\chi,\chi'^{-1}} \\ &= H_c^0(T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\chi,\chi'^{-1}} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

5.3. **The nonvanishing cohomological degree: proof of Theorem 5.5.** By Frobenius reciprocity, it is enough to show

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}(\chi, H_c^{s_\chi^{n,q}}(X_h^{n,q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]) \neq 0, \tag{5.3}$$

where we write $s_\chi = s_\chi^{n,q}$ and $X_h = X_h^{n,q}$ to emphasize the dependence on $U_{h,k}^{n,q}$. It is clear that once this is established, then by Theorem 4.1, it follows immediately that $s_\chi = r_\chi$. For notational simplicity, we write $H_c^i(X)$ to mean $H_c^i(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

We first show that Equation (5.3) holds for $n = p_0$ prime. Consider the extension of χ defined by

$$\widetilde{\chi}\colon \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \qquad (\zeta, g) \mapsto (-1)^{s_\chi^{p_0,q}} \cdot \chi(g), \qquad \text{where } \langle\zeta\rangle = \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times.$$

First note that this is a well-defined character since if $\langle\zeta^m\rangle = \langle\zeta\rangle = \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times$, then m is odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{(\zeta,g)} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta, g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta, g); H_c^{r_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\quad= \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g) \\ \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta, g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta, g); H_c^{r_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\qquad\qquad + \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g) \\ \langle\zeta\rangle = \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times}} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta, g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta, g); H_c^{r_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\quad= \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g) \\ \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta, g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta, g); H_c^{r_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right) + \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g) \\ \langle\zeta\rangle = \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times}} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta, g)^{-1} (-1)^{s_\chi^{p_0,q}} \chi(g) \\ &\quad= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}})}\left(\widetilde{\chi}, H_c^{s_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right) + \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g) \\ \langle\zeta\rangle = \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times}} 1 > 0,\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds by Theorem 5.4. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &< \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}})} \left(\widetilde{\chi}, H_c^{s_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\leq \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}})} \left(\operatorname{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}})} \chi, H_c^{s_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}})} \left(\chi, H_c^{s_\chi^{p_0,q}}(X_h^{p_0,q})[\chi]\right),\end{aligned}$$

and so Equation (5.3) holds for $n = p_0$.

We now induct on the number of prime factors[1] of n. We show that if Equation (5.3) holds for n and any q, then Equation (5.3) holds for np_0 and any q, where p_0 is prime. We proceed in a similar way to the base case. Consider the extension of χ defined by

$$\widetilde{\chi}\colon \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \qquad (\zeta, g) \mapsto (-1)^{s_\chi^{np_0,q} + s_\chi^{n,q^{p_0}}} \cdot \chi(g), \qquad \text{where } \langle\zeta\rangle = \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{(\zeta,g)} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta,g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta,g); H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\quad= \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g)\\ \zeta\in\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta,g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta,g); H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\qquad\quad+ \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g)\\ \langle\zeta\rangle=\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times}} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta,g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta,g); H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\quad= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}})} \left(\widetilde{\chi}, H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\qquad\quad+ \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g)\\ \langle\zeta\rangle=\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times}} \widetilde{\chi}(\zeta,g)^{-1}(-1)^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}+s_\chi^{n,q^{p_0}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\zeta,g); H_c^{s_\chi^{n,q^{p_0}}}(X_h^{n,q^{p_0}})[\chi]\right) \\ &\quad= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}})} \left(\widetilde{\chi}, H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\qquad\quad+ \sum_{\substack{(\zeta,g)\\ \langle\zeta\rangle=\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times}} \chi(g)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left((1,g); H_c^{s_\chi^{n,q^{p_0}}}(X_h^{n,q^{p_0}})[\chi]\right) > 0\end{aligned}$$

since the second summand is bound below by $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}}^\times)}(\chi, H_c^{s_\chi^{n,q^{p_0}}}(X_h^{n,q^{p_0}})[\chi])$, which is nonzero by the inductive hypothesis. Note that the second equality holds by the Deligne–Lusztig fixed point formula together with the following observation. For a generator ζ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times$, the ζ-fixed points of $X_h^{np_0,q}$ are the matrices of the form given in Equation (2.1) where $A_i = 0$ for $p_0 \nmid i$ and whose determinant is fixed by φ. By swapping rows and columns, this matrix is block-diagonal of the form

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} B & & \\ & \varphi(B) & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \varphi^{p_0-1}(B) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } B := \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_{p_0} & \cdots & A_{(n-1)p_0} \\ \pi^k\varphi^{p_0}(A_{(n-1)p_0}) & \varphi^{p_0}(A_0) & \cdots & \varphi^{p_0}(A_{(n-2)p_0}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pi^k\varphi^{(n-1)p_0}(A_{p_0}) & \pi^k\varphi^{(n-1)p_0}(A_{p_0}) & \cdots & \varphi^{(n-1)p_0}(A_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

[1]To be precise, we disregard multiplicity in our notion of the number of prime factors. For example, $4 = 2 \times 2$ has 2 prime factors.

It follows that $\varphi(\det(A)) = \det(A)$ if and only if $\varphi^{p_0}(\det(B)) = \det(B)$. In other words, $A \in (X_h^{np_0,q})^\zeta$ if and only if $B \in X_h^{n,q^{p_0}}$. We therefore have an identification

$$\left(X_h^{np_0,q}\right)^{\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times} = X_h^{n,q^{p_0}}.$$

We've now shown that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}})} \left(\widetilde{\chi}, H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &\leq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{p_0}}^\times \times T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}})} \left(\mathrm{Ind}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}})} \chi, H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^{np_0}})} \left(\chi, H_c^{s_\chi^{np_0,q}}(X_h^{np_0,q})[\chi]\right). \end{aligned}$$

6. Semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties for division algebras

In this section, we review some constructions used in [C15]. We define the semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig variety $\widetilde{X}$ as in [L79] and give an ind-scheme structure to the $\widetilde{X}$ attached to the multiplicative group of a division algebra $D_{k/n}^\times$ over a non-archimedean local field K. This ad-hoc method, developed by Lusztig [L79] (for the norm-1 elements of $D_{1/n}$) and later formalized by Boyarchenko [B12] (for $D_{1/n}^\times$), proceeds by first realizing $D_{k/n}^\times$ as the F-fixed points of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}})$, where

$$F\colon\ \mathrm{GL}_n(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}), \qquad g \mapsto \varpi^{-1} g^\varphi \varpi, \qquad \varpi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \pi^k & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.1}$$

and then crucially using the assumption $(k,n) = 1$ to define a stratification of $\widetilde{X}$ into limits of finite-type schemes. It will turn out that these finite-type schemes are disjoint unions of the varieties X_h studied in Sections 2 through 5 of this paper, specialized to the case $(k,n) = 1$. One can then define homology groups $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ in terms of the cohomology groups $H_c^i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

Remark 6.1. While the results of this section only make use of the theorems in Sections 2 through 5 in the case $(k,n) = 1$, we expect that the techniques developed in this paper will be useful in studying semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties in general. In particular, when $(k,n) = m$, we expect that results on the cohomology of X_h will be directly applicable to understanding the homology of the semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig variety $\widetilde{X}$ attached to the pure inner form $\mathrm{GL}_m(D_{k/n})$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. (Here, $D_{k/n}$ is the division algebra of rank n/m.) The obstruction to pursuing this here is that the ind-scheme structure on $\widetilde{X}$ cannot be obtained by the methods in this section. ◊

6.1. **Semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties.** Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a connected reductive group over K and write $G = \mathbb{G}(K)$ and $\widetilde{G} = \mathbb{G}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ so that $G = \widetilde{G}^F$, where $F\colon \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{G}$ is the Frobenius map induced by the arithmetic Frobenius in $\mathrm{Gal}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}/K)$. Let $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{G}$ be an anisotropic maximal torus over K and assume that there exists a Borel subgroup $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ defined over $\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ such that $\mathbb{T}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}) \subset \mathbb{B}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}) =: \widetilde{B}$. Let $\widetilde{U}$ denote the unipotent radical of $\widetilde{B}$.

Definition 6.2. The semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig vareity associated to $G := \mathbb{G}(K) = \widetilde{G}^F$ and $T := \mathbb{T}(K) = \widetilde{T}^F$ is the quotient

$$X := (\widetilde{U} \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}))\backslash\{g \in \widetilde{G} : F(g)g^{-1} \in \widetilde{U}\}.$$

It is clear that X is endowed with a left-multiplication action of T and a right-multiplication action of G.

Remark 6.3. We point out that Definition 6.2 follows the convention set in [B12], but differs from the convention originally introduced in [L79], where Lusztig defines X to be

$$\{g \in \widetilde{G} : g^{-1}F(g) \in \widetilde{U}\}/(\widetilde{U} \cap F^{-1}(\widetilde{U}))$$

and consequently has $(g_0, t) \in G \times T$ act by $g \mapsto g_0 g t^{-1}$. ◊

We can realize $D_{k/n}^{\times}$ in this framework as follows. Set $\widetilde{G} := \mathrm{GL}_n(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ and consider the map $F\colon \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{G}$ given in Equation (6.1), where $\varphi \in \mathrm{Gal}(\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}/K)$ is given by applying the arithmetic Frobenius to each entry of the matrix. F is a Frobenius relative to a K-rational structure and we denote the corresponding algebraic group by $\mathbb{G}$. Consider the maximal split torus $\widetilde{T} \subset \widetilde{G}$ and let $\widetilde{B} \subset \widetilde{G}$ be the standard Borel. Since F stabilizes $\widetilde{T}$, it defines a Frobenius relative to a K-rational structure on $\widetilde{T}$, and we can denote the corresponding algebraic group by $\mathbb{T}$. Note that $G := \mathbb{G}(K) := \widetilde{G}^F \cong D_{k/n}^{\times}$ and $T := \mathbb{T}(K) := \widetilde{T}^F \cong L^{\times}$, where L is the degree-n unramified extension of K.

We now recollect how to realize X as the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-points of an ind-scheme. It is a computational result of Boyarchenko (see Corollary 4.3 of [B12]) that the semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig variety X can be identified with the set

$$\widetilde{X} := \{g \in \widetilde{G} : F(g)g^{-1} \in \widetilde{U} \cap F(\widetilde{U}^-)\},$$

where $\widetilde{U}^-$ is the unipotent radical of the opposite Borel to $\widetilde{B}$. By Lemma 4.4 of [B12], a matrix $A \in \widetilde{G}$ belongs to $\widetilde{X}$ if and only if it has the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{n-1} \\ \pi^k\varphi(A_{n-1}) & \varphi(A_0) & \varphi(A_1) & \cdots & \varphi(A_{n-2}) \\ \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-2}) & \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-1}) & \varphi^2(A_0) & \cdots & \varphi^2(A_{n-3}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_1) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_2) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_3) & \cdots & \varphi^{n-1}(A_0) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.2}$$

where $A_i \in \widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and $\det(A) \in K^{\times}$. We may therefore write

$$\widetilde{X} = \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{X}^{(m)},$$

where $\widetilde{X}^{(m)}$ consists of all $A \in \widetilde{X}$ with $\det(A) \in \pi^m \cdot \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$. Note that the action of ϖ takes each $\widetilde{X}^{(m)}$ isomorphically onto $\widetilde{X}^{(m+k)}$, and the action of π takes each $\widetilde{X}^{(m)}$ isomorphically onto $\widetilde{X}^{(m+n)}$. (Up to now, all calculations work easily well for $(k, n) = m > 1$, in which case $G \cong \mathrm{GL}_m(D_{k/n})$.) By assumption, $(k, n) = 1$ and so the $\widetilde{X}^{(m)}$ are all isomorphic. It is therefore sufficient to show that $\widetilde{X}^{(0)}$ can be realized as the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-points of a scheme. To do this, we use Lemma 6.4, whose proof is nearly exactly the same as that of Lemma 4.5 of [B12].

Lemma 6.4. *Assume $(k, n) = 1$. If a matrix A of the form (6.2) satisfies $\det(A) \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$, then $A_j \in \pi^{-j\cdot\lfloor k/n \rfloor}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{nr}$ for $0 \le j \le n-1$ and $A_0 \notin \pi\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{nr}$. In particular, if $k < n$, then $A_j \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{nr}$ for $0 \le j \le n-1$ and $A_0 \notin \pi\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{nr}$.*

Proof. Write $A = (a_{ij})$ and let $v_j = \nu(A_j)$ for $0 \le j \le n-1$. By definition,

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma(i)}.$$

Let $\tau \in S_n$ be the n-cycle given by $(123\cdots n)$. Note that $\tau^j(i) = i + j$ and hence the summand of $\det(A)$ corresponding to τ^j only involves A_j. It is easy to see that

$$\nu\left(\prod a_{i,\tau^j(i)}\right) = n \cdot v_j + j \cdot k, \qquad \text{for } 0 \le j \le n-1.$$

We now calculate the valuation of the summand corresponding to a fixed $\sigma \in S_n$, where σ is not a power of τ. Set

$$\alpha(i) := \begin{cases} \sigma(i) - i, & \text{if } i \le \sigma(i), \\ \sigma(i) - i + n, & \text{if } i > \sigma(i), \end{cases} \qquad \beta(i) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \le \sigma(i), \\ k, & \text{if } i > \sigma(i). \end{cases}$$

Then the valuation of the σ-summand is $\sum(v_{\alpha(i)} + \beta(i))$. Since $\sum(\sigma(i) - i) = 0$, we have $\frac{k}{n}\sum \alpha(i) = \sum \beta(i)$, and therefore

$$\nu\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,\sigma(i)}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (v_{\alpha(i)} + \beta(i)) = \tfrac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (n v_{\alpha(i)} + k\alpha(i)).$$

Since $(k, n) = 1$, the set $\{nv_j + jk : 0 \le j \le n-1\}$ consists of n distinct numbers, and hence

$$\nu(\det(A)) = \min_{0 \le j \le n-1} \{nv_j + jk\}.$$

By assumption $\nu(\det(A)) = 0$, and this implies that

$$nv_j + jk \ge 0, \qquad \text{for } 0 \le j \le n-1.$$

It is a simple computation to see that the desired conclusion now holds. □

The next lemma shows that $\widetilde{X}^{(0)}$ is independent of the choice of k modulo n.

Lemma 6.5. *Let Y_1 and Y_2 be the corresponding $\widetilde{X}^{(0)}$ for k and $k+n$, respectively. Then*

$$Y_1 \to Y_2, \qquad A_i \mapsto \pi^{-i} A_i$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Explicitly, $A_i \mapsto \pi^{-i} A_i$ defines a map

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{n-1} \\ \pi^k\varphi(A_{n-1}) & \varphi(A_0) & \varphi(A_1) & \cdots & \varphi(A_{n-2}) \\ \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-2}) & \pi^k\varphi^2(A_{n-1}) & \varphi^2(A_0) & \cdots & \varphi^2(A_{n-3}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_1) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_2) & \pi^k\varphi^{n-1}(A_3) & \cdots & \varphi^{n-1}(A_0) \end{pmatrix} \mapsto$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & \pi^{-1}A_1 & \pi^{-2}A_2 & \cdots & \pi^{-(n-1)}A_{n-1} \\ \pi^{k+n-(n-1)}\varphi(A_{n-1}) & \varphi(A_0) & \pi^{-1}\varphi(A_1) & \cdots & \pi^{-(n-2)}\varphi(A_{n-2}) \\ \pi^{k+n-(n-2)}\varphi^2(A_{n-2}) & \pi^{k+n-(n-1)}\varphi^2(A_{n-1}) & \varphi^2(A_0) & \cdots & \pi^{-(n-3)}\varphi^2(A_{n-3}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pi^{k+n-1}\varphi^{n-1}(A_1) & \pi^{k+n-2}\varphi^{n-1}(A_2) & \pi^{k+n-3}\varphi^{n-1}(A_3) & \cdots & \varphi^{n-1}(A_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that the summand of $\det(A)$ corresponding to some $\sigma \in S_n$ is exactly the summand of $\det(\mathrm{Im}(A))$ corresponding to σ, and hence if $\det(A) \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, then $\det(\mathrm{Im}(A)) \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. Thus $A_i \mapsto \pi^{-i}A_i$ defines a map $Y_1 \to Y_2$. Moreover, this map is an isomorphism because it is clearly reversible. □

The upshot of Lemma 6.5 is that we may choose to work with any k. For convenience, we choose $0 < k < n$. By Lemma 6.4,

$$\widetilde{X}^{(0)} = \varprojlim_h \widetilde{X}_h^{(0)},$$

where $\widetilde{X}_h^{(0)}$ consists of matrices of the form (6.2) with $A_0 \in (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\mathrm{nr}}/\pi^h\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\mathrm{nr}})^\times$ and $A_j \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\mathrm{nr}}/\pi^{h-k}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^{\mathrm{nr}}$ for $1 \le j \le n-1$, whose determinant belongs in $(\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^h\mathcal{O}_K)^\times$. By looking at $\det(A)$ modulo π,

it is apparent that $\widetilde{X}_h^{(0)}$ is naturally isomorphic to a disjoint union of q^n-1 copies of the scheme X_h defined in Section 2.

We have therefore shown that

$$\widetilde{X} = \bigsqcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \varprojlim_h \widetilde{X}_h^{(m)},$$

where each $\widetilde{X}_h^{(m)}$ is a finite-type scheme over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. We now define

$$H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) := \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \varinjlim_h H_i(\widetilde{X}_h^{(m)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

where we write $H_i(S, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) := H_c^{2d-i}(S, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ for a scheme S of pure dimension d.

6.2. **Torus eigenspaces of the homology of semi-infinite Deligne–Lusztig varieties.** Recall that our goal is to understand the representations of $G \cong D^\times$ arising from the θ-eigenspaces $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$, where $\theta\colon T \cong L^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$. We must therefore understand the relationship between the ind-scheme structure we've defined on $\widetilde{X}$ and the action of $T\times G \cong L^\times \times D^\times$. We summarize the results detailed in Lemma 6.11 and Corollary 6.12 of [B12].

We first define some terminology. Recall that if $\theta\colon L^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is a smooth character, then there exists an h such that the restriction $\theta|_{U_L^h}$ is trivial. We call the smallest such h the *level* of θ. We say that $x \in L^\times$ is very regular if $x \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ and its image in the residue field $k_L^\times \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$ has trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-stabilizer. Later in this section, we will give a character formula for $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ on the subgroup of $D^\times$ consisting of very regular elements of $L^\times$. It is known that in many cases, such formulae are enough to pinpoint irreducible representations.

Write $P_L^h = \pi^h \mathcal{O}_L$ if $h>0$ and $P_L^h = 0$ otherwise. Consider the quotient

$$(L^\times/U_L^h) \times (D^\times/U_D^{(h)}), \qquad U_D^{(h)} = (1+P_L^h)(1+P_L^{h-k}\Pi)\cdots(1+P_L^{h-k}\Pi^{n-1}).$$

The $(L^\times\times D^\times)$-action on $\widetilde{X}$ induces a $(L^\times/U_L^h \times D^\times/U_D^{(h)})$-action on $\widetilde{X}_h := \sqcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{X}_h^{(m)}$. The stabilizer of $X_h \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}_h^{(0)} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}_h$ in $L^\times/U_L^h \times D^\times/U_D^{(h)}$ is equal to

$$\Gamma := \langle(\pi,\pi^{-1})\rangle \cdot \langle(\zeta,\zeta^{-1})\rangle \cdot (U_L^1/U_L^h \times U_D^1/U_D^{(h)}),$$

and $\widetilde{X}_h$ is equal to the union of $(L^\times/U_L^h \times D^\times/U_D^{(h)})$-translates of X_h. It therefore follows that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_i(\widetilde{X}_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_\Gamma^{(L^\times/U_L^h)\times(D^\times/U_D^{(h)})} \left(H_i(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right).$$

Theorem 6.6 now follows.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\theta\colon L^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be a smooth character whose restriction to U_L^h is trivial and set $\chi := \theta|_{U_L^1}$. Then for $r_\theta := 2(n-1)(h-k)^+ - r_\chi$ where $\alpha^+ = \max\{0,\alpha\}$,*

$$H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta] \neq 0 \quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad i = r_\theta.$$

Moreover,

$$\eta_\theta := H_{r_\theta}(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta] \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathcal{O}_D^\times}^{D^\times} \left(\eta'_\theta\right),$$

where η'_θ is a representation naturally obtained from θ and $H_c^{r_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$. Explicitly:

- *(i) $H_c^{r_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ extends to a representation η_θ° of $\mathcal{R}_{h,k,n,q}^\times(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cong \mathcal{O}_D^\times/U_D^{(h)}$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(\eta_\theta^\circ(\zeta)) = (-1)^{r_\theta}\theta(\zeta)$. We may view η_θ° as a representation of $\mathcal{O}_D^\times$.*
- *(ii) We can extend η_θ° to a representation η'_θ of $\pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\cdot\mathcal{O}_D^\times$ by demanding $\pi \mapsto \theta(\pi)$.*

Remark 6.7. Let $\theta\colon L^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be a smooth character trivial on U_L^h and $U_L^{h'}$. By Theorem 6.6, the $D^\times$-representation $H_i(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ can be obtained by inducing from a representation obtained from either $H_c^{r_\chi}(X_h, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$ or $H_c^{r'_\chi}(X_{h'}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\chi]$. In particular, by definition of r_θ, we must have

$$(n-1)(h-k)^+ - r_\chi = (n-1)(h'-k)^+ - r'_\chi.$$

We give a numerical proof of this equality using the explicit formula for r_χ.

Without loss of generality, assume that h is the level of θ and let $\{(m_i, h_i)\}_{0\le i\le r+1}$ and $\{(m'_i, h'_i)\}_{0\le i\le r'+1}$ be the sequences associated to $\chi\colon T_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ and $\chi\colon T_{h'}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, respectively. Then $r' = r+1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} m'_0 &= 1, & m'_i &= m_{i-1}, & &\text{for } i = 1, \dots, r'+1,\\ h'_0 &= h'_1 = h', & h'_i &= h_{i-1}, & &\text{for } i = 2, \dots, r'+1. \end{aligned}$$

The explicit formula for r_χ and r'_χ given in Theorem 4.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} r'_\chi - r_\chi &= \sum_{t=1}^{r'} \left(\left(\frac{n}{m'_{t-1}} - \frac{n}{m'_t} \right)(h'_t - k) + 2\left(\frac{n}{m'_t} - 1 \right)(h'_t - h'_{t+1}) \right) \\ &\qquad - \sum_{t=1}^{r} \left(\left(\frac{n}{m_{t-1}} - \frac{n}{m_t} \right)(h_t - k) + 2\left(\frac{n}{m_t} - 1 \right)(h_t - h_{t+1}) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{m'_0} - \frac{n}{m'_1} \right)(h'_1 - k) + 2\left(\frac{n}{m'_1} - 1 \right)\left((h'_1 - k)^+ - (h'_2 - k)^+ \right) \\ &= 2(n-1)(h'-k)^+ - 2(n-1)(h-k)^+. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $2(n-1)(h'-k)^+ - r'_\chi = 2(n-1)(h-k)^+ - r_\chi$. ◊

Theorem 6.8. *If $\theta\colon L^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ has trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$-stabilizer, then the $D^\times$-representation $H_{r_\theta}(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\theta]$ is irreducible.*

Proof. To prove this, we need to show that the normalizer of the $(\mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathcal{O}_D^\times)$-representation η'_θ in $D^\times$ is exactly $\mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathcal{O}_D^\times$. To see this, it is sufficient to show that η'_θ is not invariant under the conjugation action of Π. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times \subset \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ be very regular. Recall that by Theorem 5.4 and the definition of η'_θ given in Theorem 6.6,

$$\operatorname{Tr} \eta'_\theta(x) = (-1)^{r_\theta} \cdot \theta(x).$$

Since $\Pi \cdot x \cdot \Pi^{-1} = \varphi(x)$, conjugation by Π normalizes the set of very regular elements and

$$\operatorname{Tr} \eta'_\theta(\Pi \cdot x \cdot \Pi^{-1}) = (-1)^{r_\chi} \cdot \theta(\varphi(x)).$$

Therefore, if θ has trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$-stabilizer, then $\mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathcal{O}_D^\times$ is the normalizer of η'_θ in $D^\times$. □

Theorem 6.9. *Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ be very regular. Then*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \eta_\theta(x) = (-1)^{r_\theta} \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)} \theta^\gamma(x).$$

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{Tr} \eta_\theta(x) = \sum_{\substack{g \in D^\times/(\mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathcal{O}_D^\times) \\ gxg^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathcal{O}_D^\times}} \operatorname{Tr} \eta'_\theta(gxg^{-1}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)} (-1)^{r_\theta} \cdot \theta^\gamma(x),$$

where the second equality holds by Lemma 5.1(b) of [BW13] together with Theorem 5.4. □

References

[B10] Boyarchenko, M. *Characters of unipotent groups over finite fields.* Selecta Math. (N.S.) 16 (2010), no. 4, 857933.

[B12] Boyarchenko, M. *Deligne–Lusztig constructions for unipotent and p-adic groups.* Preprint (2012) arXiv:1207.5876, 1-50.

[BW13] Boyarchenko, M. and Weinstein, J. *Geometric realization of special cases of local Langlands and Jacquet–Langlands correspondences.* Preprint (2013), arXiv:1303.5795, 1-22.

[BW16] Boyarchenko, M. and Weinstein, J. *Maximal varieties and the local Langlands correspondence for* GL_n. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (2016), no. 1, 177-236.

[C15] Chan, C. *Deligne–Lusztig constructions for division algebras and the local Langlands correspondence, II.* Preprint (2015), arXiv:1505.07185, 1-26.

[C16] Chan, C. *Deligne–Lusztig constructions for division algebras and the local Langlands correspondence.* Advances in Math. 294 (2016), 332–383.

[DL76] Deligne, P. and Lusztig, G. *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields.* Ann. of Math. (2), 103 (1976), no.1, 103-161.

[DM91] Digne, F. and Michel, J. *Representations of finite groups of Lie type.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 21. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991, iv+159.

[FF90] Feigin and Frenkel. *Affine Kac–Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds.* Comm. Math. Phys. 128 (1990), no. 1, 161189.

[H93] Henniart, G. *Correspondance de Jacuqet–Langlands explicite. I. Le case modéré de degré premier.* Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris, 1990-91, 85-114, Progr. Math., 108, 1993.

[H77] Howe, R. *Tamely ramified supercuspidal representations of* GL_n. Pacific J. Math. 73 (1977), no. 2, 437460.

[I15] Ivanov, A. *Affine Deligne–Lusztig varieties of higher level and the local Langlands correspondence for* GL_2. Preprint (2015), arXiv:1504.00264, 1-37.

[K01] Katz, N. *L-functions and monodromy: four lectures on Weil II.* Advances in Math., 160 (2001), 81-132.

[L79] Lusztig, G. *Some remarks on the supercuspidal representations of p-adic semisimple groups.* In Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 171-175. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[L04] Lusztig, G. *Representations of reductive groups over finite rings.* Represent. Theory 8 (2004), 1-14.